

Matlis duality and $E_R(k)$ for rings with coefficient fields

Throughout, (R, \mathfrak{m}) will denote a local or graded noetherian ring with coefficient field k , so that the composition $k \hookrightarrow R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}$ is an isomorphism.

DEFINITION: For an R -module M , the \mathfrak{m} -adically continuous k -linear homomorphisms from M to k are

$$\mathrm{Hom}_k^{\mathfrak{m}\text{-cts}}(M, k) := \varinjlim \mathrm{Hom}_k(M/\mathfrak{m}^n M, k).$$

Before we get started, we recall some facts about Hom and limits:

- Given a directed system $(M_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = (\cdots \longrightarrow M_i \longrightarrow M_{i+1} \longrightarrow \cdots)$,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(\varinjlim M_i, N) \cong \varprojlim \mathrm{Hom}_R(M_i, N).$$

- If M is finitely presented and given a directed system $(N_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, \varinjlim N_i) \cong \varinjlim \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N_i).$$

- In general, if $(M_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = (\cdots \longrightarrow M_i \longrightarrow M_{i-1} \longrightarrow \cdots)$ is an inverse system,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(\varprojlim M_i, N) \not\cong \varinjlim \mathrm{Hom}_R(M_i, N)$$

0) Check that if M is an R -module, then $(\mathrm{Hom}_k(M/\mathfrak{m}^n M, k))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ forms a directed system of R -modules, so $\mathrm{Hom}_k^{\mathfrak{m}\text{-cts}}(M, k)$ is an R -module.¹

1) a) Show that $k \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, k) \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}_k^{\mathfrak{m}\text{-cts}}(R, k)$ is an essential extension.

b) Show that if M is a finitely generated R -module, then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_k^{\mathfrak{m}\text{-cts}}(M, k) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, \mathrm{Hom}_k^{\mathfrak{m}\text{-cts}}(R, k)).$$

c) Show that $\mathrm{Hom}_k^{\mathfrak{m}\text{-cts}}(R, k)$ is injective, and conclude that this is an injective hull of k .²

2) a) Let $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$, $T = S_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Show that $E_T(k)$ has a basis given by

$$(\underline{x}^\alpha)^* = \begin{cases} \underline{x}^\beta \mapsto 1 & \beta = \alpha \\ \underline{x}^\beta \mapsto 0 & \beta \neq \alpha \end{cases}$$

with module structure given by

$$\underline{x}^\gamma \cdot (\underline{x}^\alpha)^* = \begin{cases} (\underline{x}^{\alpha-\gamma})^* & \alpha_i \geq \gamma_i \text{ for all } i \\ 0 & \alpha_i < \gamma_i \text{ for some } i. \end{cases}$$

b) Compare $E_T(k)$ to the module described in the first lecture.

¹Yes, this is very quick.

²Hint: Show that for any ideal I and any n , there exists N such that $I/\mathfrak{m}^N \cap I \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\cong} I/\mathfrak{m}^n I \\ \searrow \\ R/\mathfrak{m}^N \end{matrix}$.

- c) Consider $\widehat{T} = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$, the completion of T above. Show that $E_{\widehat{T}}(k) \cong E_T(k)$.
- d) If $R = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} R_i$ is graded, $R_0 = k$, and R is a finitely generated k -algebra, show that the graded dual

$$R^* = \bigoplus_i R_i^*, \quad R_i^* = \text{Hom}_k(R_i, k)$$

is an injective hull for k .

DEFINITION: The *Matlis duality functor* is $(-)^{\vee} = \text{Hom}_R(-, E_R(k))$. Note that this is an exact functor.

- (3) a) If M is a finitely generated R -module, show that $M^{\vee} \cong \text{Hom}_k^{\text{m-cts}}(M, k)$.
- b) If M is a module of finite length, show that $M^{\vee} \cong \text{Hom}_k(M, k)$.
- c) If $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq M_3 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M$, each M_i is a finite length module, and $M = \bigcup M_i$, we say that M is a union of finite length submodules. Show that if M is a union of finite length submodules, then $M^{\vee} \cong \text{Hom}_k(M, k)$.
- d) Show that if M is finitely generated, then M^{\vee} is a union of finite length submodules.
- e) If M is a finitely generated R -module, show that $M^{\vee\vee} \cong \widehat{M}$. In particular, $R^{\vee\vee} \cong \widehat{R}$.
- (4) a) Come up with explicit examples of Matlis duals of nonregular rings and nonfree modules.
- b) Say R is complete. Our recipe for $E_R(k)$ looks canonical. Explain why it is not.
- c) Show that the Matlis duality functor is faithful: if $M \neq 0$, then $M^{\vee} \neq 0$.
- d) Suppose R is a local ring containing a field, but that R does not have a coefficient field. Explain how to give an explicit description of R^{\vee} anyway.
- e) Can you give a uniform description for the duality functor for all R -modules in our setting?