

Homework #3 volunteered solutions

Problem 1. Show that $\text{cd}(I_2(X_{2 \times 4}), \mathbb{C}[X_{2 \times 4}]) = 5$ and find a prime \mathfrak{p} with $I_2(X_{2 \times 4}) \subsetneq \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathbb{C}[X_{2 \times 4}]$ and $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{p}) = 4$.

Solution 1.

Lemma 1. Let $R \subseteq S$ be an inclusion of noetherian rings that splits as R -modules, and $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. Then $\text{cd}(I, R) = \text{cd}(IS, S)$

Proof. We have that $\text{cd}(I, R) \geq \text{cd}(I, S) = \text{cd}(IS, S)$ in general. Since R is a direct summand of S , $H_i^i(R)$ is a direct summand of $H_i^i(S) \cong H_i^i(S)$ for all i . This gives the other inequality. \square

We know that $\mathbb{C}[X_{2 \times 4}]^{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} = \mathbb{C}[\{\Delta_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 4\}]$ is a direct summand of $\mathbb{C}[X_{2 \times 4}]$. From this lemma, we know that $\text{cd}(I_2(X_{2 \times 4}), \mathbb{C}[X_{2 \times 4}]) = \text{cd}(\{\Delta_{ij}\}, \mathbb{C}[\{\Delta_{ij}\}])$, and since $(\{\Delta_{ij}\})$ is a maximal ideal, this cohomological dimension is just the dimension of the f.g. domain $\mathbb{C}[\{\Delta_{ij}\}]$.

The dimension is at least 5, since, after specializing $x_{11} \mapsto 1, x_{12} \mapsto 0, x_{21} \mapsto 0$, the minors become

$$x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{24}, x_{13}x_{22}, x_{14}x_{22}, \Delta_{34},$$

and the first five are obviously algebraically independent.

The dimension is at most 5 since the minors satisfy the relation $\Delta_{12}\Delta_{34} + \Delta_{13}\Delta_{24} + \Delta_{14}\Delta_{23}$.

Finally, note that $\mathfrak{p} = (x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{14}) \supsetneq I_2(X_{2 \times 4})$, and $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{p}) = 4$.

Problem 2. Show that if (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is local of dimension d and $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for all $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$, then $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R)$ has finite length for all $i < d$.

Solution 2.

Problem 3. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a regular local ring, and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ of height $h \neq 0, \dim(R)$. Show that $H_{\mathfrak{p}}^h(R)$ is neither artinian nor noetherian.

Solution 3 (Eamon Quinlan). For simplicity denote $H = H_{\mathfrak{p}}^h(R)$. Let us first show that H is not finitely generated (i.e. noetherian). If it was then $H_P = H_{P R_P}^h(R_P)$ would be finitely generated over R_P . Observe that since R is regular so is R_P , and that since $P \neq 0$ R_P has positive dimension. We thus reduce to the following claim.

Claim.- Let (S, n, L) be a regular local ring of dimension $d > 0$. Then $H_n^d(S)$ is not finitely generated.

Proof of Claim: We may assume S is complete. Recall that because S is regular $H_n^d(S) = E_S(L)$ and hence, by completeness, $H_n^d(S)^\vee = S$. Since S is positive-dimensional, S is not artinian. Therefore, $H_n^d(S) = S^\vee$ is not finitely-generated. \square

We now show that H is not artinian. First observe that as $H_P \neq 0$ by the above considerations, $H \neq 0$. Thus we may pick some $0 \neq \alpha \in H$ and denote by N the submodule generated by α . It suffices to show that N is not artinian.

Since $\text{Ass}(H) = \{P\}$ (HW2 #7), we have that $\text{Ass}(N) = \{P\}$. As N is finitely-generated all elements of $m \setminus P$ are nonzerodivisors. As $P \neq m$ we may pick one such $y \in m \setminus P$. The following claim concludes the exercise.

Claim.- The chain $N \supseteq yN \supseteq y^2N \supseteq \dots$ does not stabilize.

Proof of Claim: As y is a nonzerodivisor, if $y^n N = y^{n+1} N$ for some n then $N = yN$. As $y \in m$ this would imply $N = mN$, thus $N = 0$ by Nakayama's lemma – a contradiction.

Problem 4. This problem gives a proof that the invariant ring of SL_2 acting on $K[X_{2 \times n}] = K \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \\ y_1 & y_2 & \cdots & y_n \end{bmatrix}$ is generated by the minors $\{\Delta_{ij}\}$ of X , if K has characteristic zero.

Define for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ the polarization operators $E_{ij} := x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}$.

(1) Show that each E_{ij} takes SL_2 -invariants to SL_2 -invariants.

- (2) Show that each E_{ij} sends the subalgebra $K[\{\Delta_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}]$ to itself.
(3) Show that $K[X_{2 \times n}]^{\text{SL}_2}$ admits an \mathbb{N}^n -grading induced by the grading $|x_i| = |y_i| = \vec{e}_i$ on $K[X_{2 \times n}]$.
(4) Prove Cappelli's identity:

$$\begin{vmatrix} E_{jj} + 1 & E_{ij} \\ E_{ji} & E_{ii} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} x_i & x_j \\ y_i & y_j \end{vmatrix} \circ \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \end{vmatrix},$$

as differential operators on $K[X_{2 \times n}]$, where $\|\star\|$ denotes determinant.

- (5) Prove that $K[X_{2 \times n}]^{\text{SL}_2} = K[\{\Delta_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}]$.

Solution 4 (Zhan Jiang). (1) Let $f(\underline{x}, \underline{y})$ be an SL_2 -invariant element. Then we want to show that

$E_{ij}(f)$ is also SL_2 -invariant. Let $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ be an element in SL_2 and write $x'_i = ax_i + by_i$ and $y'_i = cx_i + dy_i$. We also write $\partial_i^x f := \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$ and $\partial_i^y f := \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

On one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(E_{ij}(f)) &= \phi(x_i \partial_j^x f + y_i \partial_j^y f) \\ &= x'_i \partial_j^x f(\underline{x}', \underline{y}') + y'_i \partial_j^y f(\underline{x}', \underline{y}') \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ij}(\phi(f)) &= x_i \partial_j^x \phi(f) + y_i \partial_j^y \phi(f) \\ &= (ax_i \partial_j^x f(\underline{x}', \underline{y}') + cx_i \partial_j^y f(\underline{x}', \underline{y}')) + (by_i \partial_j^x f(\underline{x}', \underline{y}') + dy_i \partial_j^y f(\underline{x}', \underline{y}')) \\ &= (ax_i + by_i) \partial_j^x f(\underline{x}', \underline{y}') + (cx_i + dy_i) \partial_j^y f(\underline{x}', \underline{y}') \\ &= x'_i \partial_j^x f(\underline{x}', \underline{y}') + y'_i \partial_j^y f(\underline{x}', \underline{y}') \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\phi(E_{ij}(f)) = E_{ij}(\phi(f))$. So if $\phi(f) = f$, then $\phi(E_{ij}(f)) = E_{ij}(f)$.

- (2) It's quite easy to see that E_{ij} is a differential operator, hence if it maps each generator back to the subalgebra, then it sends everything in the subalgebra to itself.

Write $\Delta_{ij} = x_i y_j - x_j y_i$, and apply E_{ik} we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ij}(\Delta_{jk}) &= \Delta_{ik} \\ E_{ij}(\Delta_{kl}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

So we're done.

- (3) For any $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ in SL_2 , since a, b cannot be both zero. The action $x_i \mapsto ax_i + by_i$ is homogeneous and it's the same for $y_i \mapsto cx_i + dy_i$. So if f is SL_2 invariant, then each homogeneous part has to be invariant. So $K[X_{2 \times n}]^{\text{SL}_2}$ admits the grading.
(4) We have to prove

$$(E_{jj} + 1) \circ E_{ii} - E_{ij} \circ E_{ji} = (x_i y_j - x_j y_i) (\partial_i^x \partial_j^y - \partial_j^x \partial_i^y)$$

By using the relations that all operators are commutative except that $[\partial_i^x, x_i] = 1$ and $[\partial_i^y, y_i] = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(E_{jj} + 1) \circ E_{ii} - E_{ij} \circ E_{ji} &= (x_j \partial_j^x + y_j \partial_j^y + 1) \circ (x_i \partial_i^x + y_i \partial_i^y) - (x_i \partial_i^x + y_i \partial_i^y) \circ (x_j \partial_j^x + y_j \partial_j^y) \\
&= x_j \partial_j^x x_i \partial_i^x + x_j \partial_j^x y_i \partial_i^y + y_j \partial_j^y x_i \partial_i^x + y_j \partial_j^y y_i \partial_i^y + x_i \partial_i^x + y_i \partial_i^y \\
&\quad - x_i \partial_i^x x_j \partial_j^x - x_i \partial_i^x y_j \partial_j^y - y_i \partial_i^y x_j \partial_j^x - y_i \partial_i^y y_j \partial_j^y \\
&= x_j x_i \partial_j^x \partial_i^x + x_j y_i \partial_j^x \partial_i^y + y_j x_i \partial_j^y \partial_i^x + y_j y_i \partial_j^y \partial_i^y + x_i \partial_i^x + y_i \partial_i^y \\
&\quad - x_i \partial_i^x - x_i x_j \partial_j^x \partial_i^x - x_i y_j \partial_j^x \partial_i^y - y_i x_j \partial_j^y \partial_i^x - y_i \partial_i^y - y_i y_j \partial_j^y \partial_i^y \\
&= x_j y_i \partial_j^x \partial_i^y + y_j x_i \partial_j^y \partial_i^x - x_i y_j \partial_j^x \partial_i^y - y_i x_j \partial_j^y \partial_i^x \\
&= (x_j y_i - x_i y_j) \partial_j^x \partial_i^y + (y_j x_i - y_i x_j) \partial_j^y \partial_i^x \\
&= (x_i y_j - x_j y_i) (\partial_i^x \partial_j^y - \partial_j^x \partial_i^y)
\end{aligned}$$

(5) For notational reason write $R = K [\{\Delta_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}]$ and $S = K [X_{2 \times n}]^{\text{SL}_2}$. Since both are graded rings, we only need to show that all homogeneous elements in S are in R . We first prove following lemma:

Lemma: For any nonzero homogeneous element $f \in S$, the degree of f cannot be $k \cdot \vec{e}_i$ for any natural number k . In other words, f cannot be an element in $K[x_i, y_i]$.

Proof. Suppose $f \in K[x_i, y_i]$, then we can write

$$f = c_0 x_i^k + c_1 x_i^{k-1} y_i + \cdots + c_k y_i^k$$

where $c_j \in K$. After apply $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1/a \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2$ to f we get

$$\begin{aligned}
c_0 (ax_i)^k + c_1 (ax_i)^{k-1} (y_i/a) + \cdots + c_k (y_i/a)^k &= c_0 x_i^k + c_1 x_i^{k-1} y_i + \cdots + c_k y_i^k \\
&\Leftrightarrow c_j = c_j a^{k-2j}
\end{aligned}$$

for any nonzero $a \in K$. Since K has char 0 and hence it has infinitely many elements. We conclude that $c_j = 0$ for all j . But then f is zero. \square

Now we are ready to prove $R = S$. If a homogeneous element $f \in S$ is of degree $a_1 \vec{e}_1 + a_n \vec{e}_n$, call $a_1 + \cdots + a_n$ the total degree of f . Choose a homogeneous element $f \in S \setminus R$ with smallest possible total degree. Assume WLOG that $\deg(f) = a_1 \vec{e}_1 + \cdots + a_l \vec{e}_l$ for some $1 \leq l \leq h$ with all $a_i > 0$.

If f involves x_j or y_j , then easy calculation shows that $\deg(E_{ij}(f)) = \deg(f) + \vec{e}_i - \vec{e}_j$ if $E_{ij}(f) \neq 0$. Hence by applying E_{1i} ($1 < i \leq l$) a_i times consecutively for each i we can find an element of homogeneous degree $k \vec{e}_1$, which is impossible by Lemma. So there is some intermediate step f_1 such that $f_1 \neq 0$ but $E_{1i}(f_1) = 0 \in R$. This tells us that we can find an intermediate step f_2 such that $f_2 \notin R$ but $E_{1j}(f_2) \in R$. Now apply Cappelli's operator

$$\begin{vmatrix} E_{11} + 1 & E_{j1} \\ E_{1j} & E_{jj} \end{vmatrix} = \Delta_{j1} \circ D$$

to f_2 . We have

$$(E_{11} + 1)E_{jj}(f_2) - E_{j1}E_{1j}(f_2) = \Delta_{j1}(D(f_2))$$

Note that $D(f_2)$ has smaller total degree, so it must be in R , therefore RHS is in R . Since $E_{1j}(f_2) \in R$ by our choice, so is $E_{j1}E_{1j}(f_2)$. Hence we have $(E_{11} + 1)E_{jj}(f_2) \in R$.

But if we write f_2 as

$$f_2 = f_h x_j^h + f_{h-1} x_j^{h-1} y_j + \cdots + f_0 y_j^h$$

where f_h, f_{h-1}, \dots doesn't involve x_j or y_j . Then easy calculation shows that

$$E_{jj}(f_2) = h f_2$$

Hence the operator $(E_{11} + 1)E_{jj}$ acts as a nonzero scalar on f_2 , which implies that $f_2 \in R$, a contradiction! So there is no such $f \in S \setminus R$ for us to start. Hence $R = S$.

Problem 5. This problem gives a proof of the graded local duality theorem. Let $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ be an \mathbb{N} -graded polynomial ring, with $\deg(x_i) = a_i$. Set $-a = a_1 + \dots + a_d$. From worksheet #2 we know that R^* is an injective hull for K , and from worksheet #3, we know that $H_m^d(R) \cong R^*(-a)$ as graded modules.

- (1) Show that $M^* \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, R^*)$ for any finitely generated graded R -module M .
- (2) Show that if M is finitely generated over R , then $M^{**} \cong M$.
- (3) Verify that $H_m^i(M) \cong \text{Tor}_{d-i}^R(M, R^*(-a))$.
- (4) State the graded versions of the Ext–Tor dualities.
- (5) Show that if M is a finitely generated graded R -module, then both dualities hold:

$$H_m^i(M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{d-i}(M, R)^*(-a) \text{ and } H_m^i(M)^* \cong \text{Ext}_R^{d-i}(M, R)(a).$$

Solution 5 (Zhan Jiang). (1) If we forget grading, then

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_K(R, K)) \cong \text{Hom}_K(M \otimes_R R, K) \cong \text{Hom}_K(M, K)$$

So the only thing remains to show is that this isomorphism is degree-preserving.

Let $\alpha \in M^*$ be a homogeneous element of degree i , i.e. $\alpha(M_j) \subseteq J_{i+j}$. The identification above is given by

$$\alpha \mapsto (u \mapsto \alpha_u)$$

where $\alpha_u : r \mapsto \alpha(ru)$. For any homogeneous element $u \in M$ of degree k , we want to determine the degree of the map α_u . For any homogeneous element $r \in R$ of degree l , $\alpha_u(r) = \alpha(ru) \in K_{k+l+i}$. So $\alpha_u \in \text{Hom}_K(R, K)_{k+i}$. So the map $u \mapsto \alpha_u$ is of degree i . So this is a degree-preserving isomorphism.

- (2) There is a natural map

$$\begin{aligned} M &\rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_K(\underline{\text{Hom}}_K(M, K), K) \\ u &\mapsto e_u (\phi \mapsto \phi(u)) \end{aligned}$$

We check that this preserves degree: let $u \in M$ be a homogeneous element of degree k , then we want to show that e_u is of degree k , i.e. $e_u(\text{Hom}_K(M, K)_i) \subseteq K_{i+k}$. Let ϕ be a homogeneous element in $\text{Hom}_K(M, K)_i$, since $u \in M_k$, we have $\phi(u) \in K_{k+i}$. Hence $e_u(\text{Hom}_K(M, K)_i) \subseteq K_{k+i}$. So it's of degree k .

Notice that the only nonzero part of K is K_0 , so e_u maps $\text{Hom}_K(M, K)_{-k} = \text{Hom}_K(M_k, K)$ to K and other degrees to zero. Hence $e_u \in \text{Hom}_K(\text{Hom}_K(M_k, K), K)$. So the natural map above restricts to a K -linear map $f_k : M_k \rightarrow \text{Hom}_K(\text{Hom}_K(M_k, K), K)$. Note that if M is finitely generated, then each M_k is a finite-dimensional K -vector space. The natural map f_k is an isomorphism. So the natural map at the beginning is an isomorphism.

- (3) The Čech complex is a graded flat resolution of $R^*(-a)$. Since both sides are obtained by tensoring with M and taking (co)homology, they are literally the same graded module.
- (4) Under the assumption above, for any finitely generated graded R -modules M and N ,
 - (a) $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N)^* \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N^*)$
 - (b) $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N^*) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)^*$
- (5) By duality above, we have $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, R^*) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R)^*$ so then $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, R^*)(-a) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R)^*(-a)$. Since shifting degree commutes with tensor and taking (co)homology, we have $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, R^*)(-a) \cong \text{Tor}_i^R(M, R^*(-a)) \cong H_m^{d-i}(M)$. Combine all these we have

$$H_m^i(M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{d-i}(M, R)^*(-a)$$

Apply graded dual $(-)^*$ we have

$$H_m^i(M)^* \cong (\text{Ext}_R^{d-i}(M, R)^*(-a))^* = \text{Ext}_R^{d-i}(M, R)^{**}(a) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{d-i}(M, R)(a)$$

Problem 6. Show that if R is a Gorenstein local ring, and M is a finitely generated R -module, then M has finite projective dimension if and only if M has finite injective dimension.

Solution 6. First we observe the following fact: if

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence of (not necessarily f.g.) modules, and $\mathrm{Tor}_{\gg 0}^R(k, -) = 0$ for two of the three modules, then likewise for the third. Similarly, if $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(k, -) = 0$ for two of the three modules, then likewise for the third. Both of these facts follow immediately from the long exact sequence.

Let M be a f.g. module of finite projective dimension. Then M has a finite free resolution. We claim that $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(k, M) = 0$. We induce on the projective dimension of M . Indeed, if M is free, then the injective dimension of $M \cong R^{\oplus a}$ is finite, by the Gorenstein hypothesis. Otherwise, take a SES

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with F free; the projective dimension of L is smaller than that of M . By the observation above, and the induction hypothesis applied to L , the claim follows. Then, since $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{\gg 0}(k, M) = 0$ and M is finitely generated, the injective dimension of M is finite.

Now, let M be a f.g. module of finite injective dimension, so M has a finite injective resolution. We claim that $\mathrm{Tor}_{\gg 0}^R(k, M) = 0$. We induce on the injective dimension of M . To deal with the base case, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Tor}_{> \dim(R_{\mathfrak{p}})}^R(k, E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})) = 0$ for any prime \mathfrak{p} . Since $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Gorenstein, $E_R(R/\mathfrak{p}) \cong E_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\dim(R_{\mathfrak{p}})}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$, which has a resolution of length $\dim(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ by flat modules, namely, $\check{C}^{\bullet}(f; R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for a SOP f of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since Tor can be computed by flat resolutions, this takes care of the base case. Otherwise, take a SES

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E_R(M) \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0;$$

the injective dimension of N is smaller than that of M . By the observation above and the inductive hypothesis, the inductive step, and hence also the claim, follows. Then, since $\mathrm{Tor}_{\gg 0}^R(k, M) = 0$ and M is finitely generated, the projective dimension of M is finite.