

Prop: A ring,  $R = A[\underline{x}]$  poly ring,  
 $f \in R$  nonzero divisor,  $D = D_{R[\underline{x}]}$ .

Then the map

$$((f) :_D (f)) \xrightarrow{\alpha} ((f) :_D (f))^{op}$$

given by  $\alpha(S) = \bar{f} S f^{-1}$  is

an iso, where

$$D \xrightarrow{\gamma} D^{op} \text{ constructed last time.}$$

Pf: Can check that  $\gamma$

extends to an iso.  $D_{R[\underline{x}]} \rightarrow D_{R[\underline{x}]}^{op}$

by  $\gamma(\bar{r})^{(\alpha)}$  for  $r \in R_f$ , any  $\zeta$   
 $(-1)^{(\alpha)} \bar{r}^{(\alpha)} \bar{f}$ .

$$S \in ((f)_{\hat{D}}(f)) \Leftrightarrow \overline{SF}(R) \subseteq fR$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{f}^{-1}S\hat{f}(R) \subseteq R$$

so  $\hat{f}^{-1}S\hat{f} \in D$

$\downarrow D$

$$\text{Then } \gamma(\hat{f}^{-1}S\hat{f}) = \gamma(\hat{f}^{-1}) * \gamma(S) * \gamma(\hat{f})$$

$$= \gamma(\hat{f}) \gamma(S) \gamma(\hat{f}^{-1})$$

$$= \hat{f} \gamma(S) \hat{f}^{-1} = \alpha(S).$$

so  $\alpha(S) \in D$ .

$$\text{Then } \alpha(S) \cdot (fR) = \hat{f} \gamma(S) \hat{f}^{-1} (fR)$$

$$\subseteq \hat{f} \gamma(S) (R) \subseteq \hat{f} R,$$

so  $\alpha$  is well-defined.

Easy to see  $\alpha$  is additive.

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(S\epsilon) &= \bar{f} \gamma(S\epsilon) \bar{f}^{-1} = \bar{f} \gamma(\epsilon) \gamma(S) \bar{f}^{-1} \\ &= \underbrace{\bar{f} \gamma(\epsilon) \bar{f}^{-1}}_{\alpha(\epsilon)} \underbrace{\bar{f} \gamma(S) \bar{f}^{-1}}_{\alpha(S)} \\ &= \alpha(S) * \alpha(\epsilon).\end{aligned}$$

so  $\alpha$  is a homomorphism.

Then  $\alpha^2(S) = \alpha(\bar{f} \gamma(S) \bar{f}^{-1})$

$$\begin{aligned}&= \bar{f} \gamma(\bar{f} \gamma(S) \bar{f}^{-1}) \bar{f}^{-1} \\ &= \bar{f} \gamma(\bar{f}^{-1}) \gamma \gamma(S) \gamma(\bar{f}) \bar{f}^{-1} \\ &= \bar{f} \bar{f}^{-1} \gamma^2(S) \bar{f} \bar{f}^{-1} \\ &= S.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism.  $\blacksquare$

Note: Symmetry properties  
 of differential operator rings  
 holds more generally e.g.,  
 for  $R$  fin gen graded  
 $K$ -algebra that is Gorenstein,  
 one has  $D_{RK} \cong D_{RIK}^{op}$ .  
 (Quinlan-Gallego).

---

Ok conclude:

Thm (Tripp): Let  $K$  be  
 a field of char 0,  $R = \frac{K[x,y]}{(xy)}$ .  
 Then  $((xy)) :_{D_{K[x,y]R}} (xy)$

is left and right  
Noetherian, and hence,

So  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $D_{R|K}$ . □

---

Let  $\cdot K$  be a field of characteristic 0,

- $R$  poly ring over  $K$
- $G$  finite group acting linearly on  $R$  with no pseudo reflections.

Then [Wallach]: In this setting,  
 $D_{R|K}$  is  $D$ -algebra simple.

pf: Let  $J \subseteq D_{R^G}$  be a nonzero two-sided ideal. Let  $S \in J \setminus 0$  be of minimal order. Then, for  $f \in R^G$ ,

$$[S, \bar{f}] = S\bar{f} - \bar{f}S \in J$$

and has lower order, so must be zero; thus  $S = \bar{r} \in J$  for some  $r \in R^G$ .

We showed that  $D_{R|K}$  is a f.g. right  $D_{R|K}$ -module.

{ Using that the same was true  
for  $\text{gr}^{\text{ord}}(D_{R|K}) \subseteq \text{gr}^{\text{ord}}(D_{R|K})$   
 $\text{gr}^{\text{ord}}(D_{R|K})^G$   
by Kantor's theorem }

Write  $D_{R/K} = \sum_i \gamma_i D_{R/G/K}$  for  $\gamma_1, \gamma_i \in D_{R/K}$

and  $N = \max \{ \text{ord}(\gamma_i) \} + 1$ .

Set  $\gamma_i^{(0)} = \gamma_i$ ,  $\gamma_i^{(j)} = [\gamma_i^{(j-1)}, \bar{r}]$

inductively, so, in particular,

$\gamma_i^{(N)} = 0$  for  $i$ .

Claim: For each  $k$  and any  $S \in D_{R/K}$ , there are  $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  with

$$\bar{r}^k S = \gamma_i \bar{r}^k + c_1 \gamma_i^{(1)} \bar{r}^{k-1} + \dots + c_k \gamma_i^{(k)}$$

pf of claim: By induction on  $k$  with  $k=0$  trivial.

$$\text{Note that } \bar{r} \gamma_i^{(j)} = \gamma_i^{(j)} \bar{r} - \gamma_i^{(j+1)}$$

so, for inductive step,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{r}^{k+1} \gamma_i &= \bar{r} \gamma_i \bar{r}^k + c_1 \bar{r} \gamma_i \bar{r}^{k-1} + \dots + c_k \bar{r} \gamma_i^{(k)} \\
&= (\gamma_i \bar{r} - \gamma_i^{(1)}) \bar{r}^k + c_1 (\gamma_i \bar{r} - \gamma_i^{(2)}) \bar{r}^{k-1} + \dots \\
&= \gamma_i \bar{r}^{k+1} + (c_1 - 1) \gamma_i^{(1)} \bar{r}^k + \dots \\
&\quad + (c_k - c_{k-1}) \gamma_i^{(k)} \bar{r} - c_k \gamma_i^{(k+2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

✓ claim.

Using observation & claim, we have  $\bar{r}^n \gamma_i \in D_{R|K} \cdot \bar{r}$  (left ideal) for each  $i$ .

Now,  $R$  is  $D$ -algebra simple,  
i.e.,  $D_{R|K}$  is simple.

Thus,  $I \in D_{R|K} \cdot \bar{r}^n, D_{R|K}$   
two-sided ideal gen by  $\bar{r}^n \neq 0$

$$\subseteq D_{R/K} \cdot \bar{F}^U \left( \sum \alpha_i D_{R/G/K} \right)$$

$$\subseteq D_{R/K} \cdot \bar{F} \cdot D_{R/G/K}.$$

That is,  $1 = \sum_i \alpha_i \bar{F} \beta_i$    
 $\alpha_i \in D_{R/K}$   
 $\beta_i \in D_{R/G/K}$   
 $" "$   
 $(D_{R/K})^G$ .

Now, consider the map

$$\rho: D_{R/K} \longrightarrow D_{R/K}^G = D_{R/G/K}$$

given by  $\rho(s) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot s$ .

Note that  $\rho(1) = 1$ .

Further, this is a right  $D_{R/K}$ -mod homomorphism.

If  $S \in DR_{IK}$ ,  $\varepsilon \in D_{RIK}^G$ , then

$$P(S\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot (S\varepsilon)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{j \in G} (g \cdot S)(g \cdot \varepsilon)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (g \cdot S) \varepsilon$$

$$= P(S) \cdot \varepsilon.$$

$\bar{\gamma} \in DR_{IK}$ ,  $\beta \in D_{IK}$

Thus,  $1 = P(1) = P\left(\sum_i \underbrace{\alpha_i}_{S} \bar{r} \underbrace{\beta_i}_{\varepsilon}\right)$

$$= \sum_i P(\alpha_i \bar{r} \beta_i) = \sum_i \underbrace{P(\alpha_i)}_{ED_{IK}} \underbrace{\bar{r} \beta_i}_{ED_{IK}}$$

$\in J.$

Thus  $J = DR_{GIK}$ .



Cor: If  $R, k, G$  as above,  
 then any  $\overset{\text{nonzero}}{\text{local cohomology}}$   
 module on  $R^G$  is  
 faithful. ■

Exercice: Let  $R = \mathbb{C}[x^2, xy, y^2]$ .

Find explicit operators in  
 $D_{R/\mathbb{C}}$  that show  $1 \in D_{R/\mathbb{C}} \cdot \bar{x}^2 \cdot D_{R/\mathbb{C}}$ .

I.e., find  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_t \in D_{R/\mathbb{C}}$

such that  $1 = \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i \cdot \bar{x}^2 \cdot \beta_i$ .

# Good filtrations

Def: Let  $(T, F^\bullet)$  be a filtered ring, and  $M$  a left (right)  $T$ -module. A filtration  $G^\bullet$  on  $M$  is a good filtration if  $\text{gr}(M, G^\bullet)$  is a f.g.  $\text{gr}(T, F^\bullet)$ -mod.

prop: Let  $(T, F^\bullet)$  be a filtered ring, with  $\text{gr}(T, F^\bullet)$  fingen. commutative  $K$ -algebra. Then

$M$  is a fingen left (right)  $T$ -mod  $\Downarrow$   
 $M$  admits a good filtration.

Pf. (I) If  $M$  has a good filtration,  
then  $\text{gr}(M, G^\bullet)$  f.g.  $\text{gr}(T, F^\bullet)$ -module.  
Then, a lift of the generators of

$\text{gr}(M, G^\bullet)$  to  $M$

$$\begin{matrix} m + G^{i-1} \\ m \in G^i \end{matrix} \rightsquigarrow m$$

forms a

generating set for  $M$  as a  $T$ -module.

(II) Given  $\{m_1, \dots, m_t\}$  gen set

for  $M$ , set  $G^i := \sum_j F^i \cdot m_j$ .

This is clearly ascending, satisfies

$$F^a \cdot G^b = \sum_j F^a F^b \cdot m_j \subseteq \sum_j F^{a+b} m_j = G^{a+b}$$

and  $\bigcup_i G^i = M$  since  $\{m_1, \dots, m_t\}$  generate.

Show that  $\text{gr}(M, \mathcal{G}^\circ)$  is  
finitely generated over  $\text{gr}(T, F^\circ)$   
(exercise).

Prop: Let  $(T, F^\circ)$  be a filtered  $k\text{-alg}$   
with  $\text{gr}(T, F^\circ)$  f.g. commutative  $k\text{-alg}$ .  
Let  $M$  be a left (right)  $T\text{-mod}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}^\circ$  be a good filtration  
on  $M$ ,  $H^\circ$  any filtration on  $M$ .

Then  $\exists a \in N$  s.t.  $G^i \subseteq H^{i+a}$   
for all  $i$ .

Pf: Pick  $m_1, \dots, m_t \in M$  s.t.

$\overline{m_1} = m_1 + G_{a_1-1}, \dots, \overline{m_t} = m_t + G_{a_t-1}$   
generate  $\text{gr}(M, \mathcal{G}^\circ)$  as a  
 $\text{gr}(T, F^\circ)$ -module.

Let  $b_1, \dots, b_i$  be s.t.  $m_i \in H^{b_i} \setminus H^{b_{i-1}}$

for each  $i$ . The assumption on generation implies that

$$G_t = \sum_i F_{t-a_i} \cdot m_i \text{ for each } t.$$

Then, for  $t > \max\{\alpha_i\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} G_t &= \sum_i F_{t-a_i} m_i \subseteq \sum_i F_{t-a_i} H^{b_i} \\ &\leq \sum H^{t+b_i-\alpha_i} \leq H^{t+\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

for  $\alpha = \max\{b_i - \alpha_i\}$ .  $\square$

Prop: Let  $(T, F^\bullet)$  be a filtered  $K$ -algebra w.r.t.  $\text{gr}(T, F^\bullet)$  f.g. commut.  $K$ -alg.

$M$  left (right)  $T$ -mod with  
 $G^\bullet, H^\bullet$  good filtrations.  
Then  $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.

$$G^{i-c} \subseteq H^i \subseteq G^{i+c} \text{ for all } i. \quad \square$$

Follows from last proposition by switching roles & taking maximum:

Morally, all good filtrations are "same up to a shift."

For f.g. modules, get notion of filtration that is unique/

well-defined enough to preserve  
certain properties (invariants).