

# Differential operators on Stanley-Reisner rings

Our next class of examples is Stanley-Reisner rings. Let  $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a poly. ring over a field  $k$ .  $I = P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_r$  be a squarefree monomial ideal, where  $P_i = (x_j \mid j \in S_i)$  are monomial prime ideals.  $R = S/I$  is a Stanley-Reisner ring.

First, we observe a fact about operators preserving ideals.

Prop: Let  $A \rightarrow R$  be ~~com~~ rings, with  $R$  Noetherian. Let  $I \subseteq R$  be an ideal, and  $Q$  a minimal primary component of  $I$ . Then

- 1)  $S \in (I :_{D_{R|A}} I) \Rightarrow [S, \bar{r}] \in (I :_{D_{R|A}} I)$  for any  $r \in R$ .
- 2)  $(I :_{D_{R|A}} I) \subseteq (Q :_{D_{R|A}} Q)$ .

pf: 1) If  $a \in I$ , then  $[S, \bar{r}](a) = S(r a) - r(S a) \in I$ .

2) Let  $f$  be in the intersection of the other primary components of  $I$  but outside of  $J_Q$ . Note that  $f \notin Q \subseteq I$ .

If the  $\cap$  of the other components is contained in  $J_Q$ , then some other cpt. is ctd. in  $J_Q$ , contradicting that its unique minimal prime isn't ctd. in  $J_Q$ .

We will show that  $(I :_{D_{R|A}} I) \subseteq (Q :_{D_{R|A}} Q)$

by induction on  $i$ , with base case  $i=0$  trivial.

$[S, \bar{f}] \in (Q :_{D_{R|A}} Q)$  by part (i) and IH.

For  $g \in Q$ , then  $[S, \bar{f}](g) - f(Sg) \in Q$ .

$f g \in I \Rightarrow S(fg) \in I \subseteq Q$ , so  $f(Sg) \in Q$ , hence  $Sg \in Q$ .  $\square$

2

Prop: Let  $Q$  be a monomial prime. Then  
 $(Q :_{D_{SK}} Q) = K \cdot \{ x^\alpha \partial^{(\beta)} \mid x^\alpha \in Q \text{ or } x^\beta \notin Q \}$ .

prf: For the containment  $\supseteq$ , it suffices to check for the basis elements.

If  $x^\alpha \in Q$ ,  $x^\alpha \partial^{(\beta)} \in (Q :_{D_{SK}} Q)$  is clear.

If  $x^\beta \notin Q$ , then  $x^\alpha \partial^{(\beta)}$  can only decrease exponents of the variables not in  $Q$ , so must stabilize  $Q$ .

For the other containment, suppose  $S \in (Q :_{D_{SK}} Q)$  is not in the RHS. Subtracting off an element of the RHS, we can assume that all of the terms  $\lambda_{\alpha, \beta} x^\alpha \partial^{(\beta)}$  have  $\lambda_{\alpha, \beta} \neq 0$ ,  $x^\alpha \notin Q$  and  $x^\beta \in Q$ .

Let  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1), \dots, (\alpha_t, \beta_t)$  be the nonzero pairs  $(\alpha, \beta)$  as above in  $S$ . Suppose that  $\beta_1$  is such that  $|\beta_1| \leq |\beta_i|$  all  $i$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } S(x^{\beta_1}) &= \sum_{(\alpha, \beta)} \lambda_{\alpha, \beta} x^\alpha \partial^{(\beta)} (x^{\beta_1}) \\ &= x^{\alpha_1} \partial^{(\beta_1)} (x^{\beta_1}) = x^{\alpha_1}, \end{aligned}$$

but  $x^{\beta_1} \in Q$ ,  $x^{\alpha_1} \notin Q$  contradicts that  $S \in (Q :_{D_{SK}} Q)$ .

□

Thm (Tripp, Traves):

Let  $R = S/I$  be a Stanley-Reisner ring,  
with  $I = P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_t$  squarefree monomial ideal,  
 $P_i$  primes. Then

$$D_{RIK} = K \left\{ X^\alpha \in \mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{P}^1} \mid X^\alpha \notin I, X^\alpha \in P_i \text{ or } X^\beta \notin P_i \text{ for each } i \right\}$$

as  $K$ -vector spaces, with composition induced by  
the corresponding operators on  $S$ .

pf: We use the description

$$D_{RIK} = (I :_{D_{SIK}} I) / I D_{SIK}.$$

To compute  $(I :_{D_{SIK}} I)$ , observe first that  
if  $S \in \bigcap (P_i :_{D_{SIK}} P_i)$ , and  $a \in I$ , then

$a \in P_i$  for each  $i$ , so  $S(a) \in P_i$  for each  $i$ , hence  $S(a) \in I$ .

Conversely,  $(I :_{D_{SIK}} I) \subseteq \bigcap (P_i :_{D_{SIK}} P_i)$  by Prop above,  
and the equality holds.

Using the previous proposition,

$$(P_i :_{D_{SIK}} P_i) = K \left\{ X^\alpha \in \mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{P}^1} \mid X^\alpha \in P_i \text{ or } X^\beta \notin P_i \right\}.$$

Then the given basis above comes from  
intersecting these and removing those  
in  $I D_{SIK}$  in the <sup>monomial</sup> basis.

□

4

Example: Let  $R = K[x, y]/(xy)$ .

Writing  $(xy) = (x) \cap (y)$ , we have

$$D_{R/K} = K \cdot \left\{ \bar{x}^a \bar{y}^b \partial^{(c,d)} \mid \begin{array}{l} a \text{ or } b = 0 \\ a > 0 \text{ or } c = 0 \\ b > 0 \text{ or } d = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= K \cdot \left\{ \bar{1} \right\} \cup \left\{ \bar{x}^a \partial^{(c,0)} \mid \begin{array}{l} a \geq 1 \\ c \geq 0 \end{array} \right\} \cup \left\{ \bar{y}^b \partial^{(0,d)} \mid \begin{array}{l} b \geq 1 \\ d \geq 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

If  $K$  has characteristic zero, then we write as

$$K \cdot \left\{ \bar{1}, \bar{x}^a \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^c \mid \begin{array}{l} a \geq 1 \\ c \geq 0 \end{array}, \bar{y}^b \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^d \mid \begin{array}{l} b \geq 1 \\ d \geq 0 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Even in the char 0 case,  $D_{R/K}$  is <sup>not</sup> a finitely gen.  $K$ -algebra: in every order  $i$ , there

is  $\bar{x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^i \in D_{R/K}$  that is not in the algebra generated by  $D_{R/K}^{< i}$ ; thus any algebra gen. set must involve arbitrarily high orders, and thus must be infinite.

Conic over elliptic curve

$$\text{Let } R = \mathbb{C} \left[ \frac{x, y, z}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)} \right].$$

Then [Bernstein-Bettand-Bettand]:

- $[D_{R/\mathbb{C}}]_{<0} = 0$ : there are no differential operators of negative degree
- $[D_{R/\mathbb{C}}]_0 = \mathbb{C} \left[ x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right]$ : every operator of degree zero is a poly in the Euler operator
- $\frac{[D_{R/\mathbb{C}}]_1}{[D_{R/\mathbb{C}}]_1 + E \cdot [D_{R/\mathbb{C}}]_1} \simeq \mathbb{C}^3$  for each  $i$ .

It follows from these facts that  $D_{R/\mathbb{C}}$  is not a finitely generated  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra. Indeed, if we set

$$A_k = [D_{R/\mathbb{C}}]_0 + \sum_{n=0}^k E^n [D_{R/\mathbb{C}}]_1 + [D_{R/\mathbb{C}}]_2,$$

then  $D_{R/\mathbb{C}}^k \subseteq A_k \subsetneq A_{k+1} \subseteq D_{R/\mathbb{C}}^{k+1}$  for each  $k$ ,

~~so~~  $D_{R/\mathbb{C}}$  is not generated by  $D_{R/\mathbb{C}}^k$  for any  $k$ ,  
~~so~~ is not fin. generated.

We skip the proof of this: one proceeds by analyzing the cohomology of the tangent bundle on  $\text{Proj}(R)$ , the curve.

## 7 Differential operators in positive characteristic.

Theorem: Let  $K$  be a perfect field, and  $R$  be essentially of finite type over  $K$ . Then

$$D_{R|K} = \bigcup_{e \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Hom}_{R^{pe}}(R, R)$$

and there is a constant  $C$  such that

$$D_{R|K}^{pe} \subseteq \text{Hom}_{R^{pe}}(R, R) \subseteq D_{R|K}^{Cpe}$$

for all  $e > 0$ .

pf: First we observe that  $K = K^{pe} \subseteq R^{pe}$  for each  $e$ , so  $\text{Hom}_{R^{pe}}(R, R) \subseteq \text{Hom}_K(R, R)$  for each  $e$ , and both sides of the equality can be considered as subsets of  $\text{Hom}_K(R, R)$ .

Now,  $R \otimes_K R$  is ess. fin. type over  $K$ , hence Noether, so  $\Delta_{R|K}$  is finitely generated, say with  $\ell$  generators.

Then  $\Delta_{R|K}^{pe} \subseteq \Delta_{R|K}^{[pe]} \subseteq \Delta_{R|K}^{pe}$  for each  $e$  by the pigeonhole principle. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (0 :_{\text{Hom}_K(R, R)} \Delta_{R|K}^{pe}) &\supseteq (0 :_{\text{Hom}_K(R, R)} \Delta_{R|K}^{[pe]}) \supseteq (0 :_{\text{Hom}_K(R, R)} \Delta_{R|K}^{pe}) \\ &\parallel \qquad \qquad \parallel \qquad \qquad \parallel \\ D_{R|K}^{Cpe} &\supseteq \text{Hom}_{R^{pe}}(R, R) \supseteq D_{R|K}^{pe} \end{aligned}$$

where the middle equality comes from the observation that  ~~$\Delta_{R|K}^{[pe]}$~~

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{R|K}^{[pe]} &= (\{ \cancel{1} \otimes r^e - r^e \otimes 1 \mid r \in R \}) = (\{ 1 \otimes s - s \otimes 1 \mid s \in R^{pe} \}) \\ &= \Delta_{R^{pe}|K}(R \otimes_K R), \text{ so this ideal kills a} \\ &\text{map} \Leftrightarrow \text{the map is } R^{pe}\text{-linear.} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$