

Exer:  $\mathcal{D}(R)$  is commutative!

### Principal parts

To better understand differential operators, we want an "R-linear" way to compute them,  $\mathcal{D}$ , to represent them as a linear. To do this, we'll need to represent them over a subring first.

### Module structures on $\text{Hom}$

Let  $A \rightarrow R$  be a homomorphism of commutative rings, and let  $M, N$  be  $R$ -modules. There is an  $A$ -module homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} d_M: M &\rightarrow R \otimes_A M \\ m &\mapsto 1 \otimes m. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that there are different  $R$ -module structures on  $R \otimes_A M$ :

we can act on  $R$ :  $v \cdot (s \otimes m) = vs \otimes m$

or act on  $M$ :  $v \cdot (s \otimes m) = v \otimes sm$ ,

and these differ in general. However, ~~the~~ the action of  ~~$A$~~   $A$  on  $R$  or on  $M$  agree:

$$a \cdot s \otimes m = s \otimes a \cdot m \text{ for } a \in A \text{ by bilinearity over } A.$$

There is an  $(R \otimes_A R)$ -module structure on  $R \otimes_A M$ :

$$(a \otimes b)(r \otimes m) = ar \otimes bm.$$

Note that if  $a \in A$ , we have  $a \otimes b = a \otimes a \cdot b$ ,  $pr \otimes m = r \otimes pm$ , and  $a \cdot pr \otimes bm = ar \otimes a \cdot pm$ ... the action is well-defined.

When we consider  $R \otimes_A M$  as an  $R$ -module, it will be by the action on  $R$ .

Beware:  $d_M$  is not  $R$ -linear under  ~~$R$~~  structure

70

$\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$  also admits an  $R \otimes_A R$ -mod structure.  
 For  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ ,  $(a \otimes b)\phi = a\phi(bm)$ .  
 we have  $(\alpha a \otimes b) = (a \otimes \alpha b)$   
 and  $\alpha a\phi(bm) = a\phi(\alpha bm)$  by  $A$ -linearity.

Theorem: The map  

$$\text{Hom}_R(R \otimes_A M, N) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$$

$$\psi \longmapsto \psi \circ \alpha_M$$
 is an isomorphism of  $(R \otimes_A R)$ -modules  
 (where the action on LHS is by precomposition).

pf: First, we verify that this map  
 is  $(R \otimes_A R)$ -linear: let  $\psi \in \text{Hom}_R(R \otimes_A M, N)$ .

We need to see that

$$\underbrace{\Phi((a \otimes b) \cdot \psi)}_{\text{LHS action}} = (a \otimes b) \cdot \underbrace{\Phi(\psi)}_{\text{RHS action}}$$

Plug in  $m$  to both sides:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi((a \otimes b) \cdot \psi)(m) &= (a \otimes b) \psi(1 \otimes m) = \psi(a \otimes bm) \\ (a \otimes b) \cdot \Phi(\psi)(m) &= a(\Phi(\psi)(bm)) = a\psi(1 \otimes bm). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\psi$  is  $R$ -linear, using  $R$ -mod structure we have  
 $\psi(a \otimes bm) = \psi(a(1 \otimes bm)) = a\psi(1 \otimes bm)$ .  
 Thus, the module structures are compatible.

Now we check the map is bijective. By Hom- $\otimes$  adjunction as  $A$ -modules, we have

$$\text{Hom}_R(R \otimes_A M, N) \cong \text{Bil}_A(R \times M, N) \cong \text{Hom}_A(R, \text{Hom}_A(M, N))$$

$$\Psi \longmapsto \Psi \circ (r, m \mapsto r \otimes m) \longmapsto (r \mapsto (\Psi(r \otimes -)))$$

We claim that this restricts to the ~~map~~ <sup>iso</sup> we want; i.e.,  
 $\Psi$  is  $R$ -linear  $\Leftrightarrow r \mapsto \Psi(r \otimes -) \in \text{Hom}_A(R, \text{Hom}_A(M, N))$   
 is  $R$ -linear.

But  $\Psi$   $R$ -linear  $\Leftrightarrow \Psi(r \otimes m) = r \Psi(1 \otimes m)$  for all  $r \in R, m \in M$ ,  
 and  $r \mapsto \Psi(r \otimes -)$   $R$ -linear  $\Leftrightarrow \Psi(r \otimes m) = r \Psi(1 \otimes m)$   $\dashv$ .

Thus, the ~~iso~~ above restricts to an ~~iso~~ <sup>iso</sup>.

$$\text{Hom}_R(R \otimes_A M, N) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_R(R, \text{Hom}_A(M, N)) \xrightarrow[\text{at } 1]{\text{evaluate}} \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$$

$$\Psi \longmapsto (r \mapsto \Psi(r \otimes -)) \longmapsto \Psi(1 \otimes -),$$

and this is just the map  $\Phi$ !  $\square$

We will use these module structures to ~~construct~~ <sup>construct</sup> differential operators. It begins with an observation:

lem: Let  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$  and  $f \in R$ . Then  
 $[\alpha, f] = (1 \otimes f - f \otimes 1) \cdot \alpha$  under the ~~the~~  $R \otimes R$ -module structure specified.

pf: We have  $(1 \otimes f) \cdot \alpha(-) = \alpha(f-)$ , so  $(1 \otimes f) \cdot \alpha = \alpha \circ f$   
 and  $(f \otimes 1) \cdot \alpha(-) = f \alpha(-)$ , so  $(f \otimes 1) \cdot \alpha = f \alpha$ .  $\square$

12

Let  $A \rightarrow R$  commut. rings. Then there  
 is a ring homomorphism  $R \otimes_A R \xrightarrow{\mu} R$   
 given by  $\mu(r \otimes s) = rs$ . We define  
 $\Delta_{RIA} = \ker(R \otimes_A R \xrightarrow{\mu} R)$ .

We observe that  $\Delta_{RIA}$  is generated by  
 elements of the form  $1 \otimes f - f \otimes 1$ ,  $f \in R$ .  
 Each such element is in the kernel, and  
~~the map~~  $R \otimes_A R / (1 \otimes f - f \otimes 1) \cong R$ , since every  
 element of  $R \otimes_A R$  is equivalent modulo  $(1 \otimes f - f \otimes 1)$   
 to an element of the form  $r \otimes 1$ , and  
 there is an  $R$ -linear inverse  $r \mapsto r \otimes 1 + (1 \otimes f - f \otimes 1)$ .

Moreover, if  $R = A[f_1, \dots, f_t]$ , then

$$\Delta_{RIA} = (1 \otimes f_1 - f_1 \otimes 1, \dots, 1 \otimes f_t - f_t \otimes 1)$$

this follows from the identity

$$1 \otimes fg - fg \otimes 1 = (1 \otimes f - f \otimes 1)(1 \otimes g) + (1 \otimes g - g \otimes 1)(f \otimes 1)$$

Prop: ~~The~~ The collection  $D_{RIA}^i(M, N) \subseteq \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$   
 is the  $R \otimes_A R$ -submodule of  $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$   
 annihilated by  $\Delta_{RIA}^{i+1}$ .

Prf: By induction on  $i$ . For  $i=0$ ,  $S \in D_{RIA}^0(M, N)$   
 if and only if  $[S, r] = 0$  for each  $r \in R$ , i.e., iff  
 each  $[ \cdot, r ]$  operation annihilates it. This is  
 equivalent to each element  $(1 \otimes r - r \otimes 1)$  annihilating  $S$ ,  
 which is equivalent to  $\Delta_{RIA}$  annihilating  $S$ .

The inductive step is similar: for the same reason, we have  $S \in D_{RIA}^i(M, N) \Leftrightarrow \Delta_{RIA} \cdot S \in D_{RIA}^{i-1}(M, N)$   
 $\xLeftrightarrow{IH} \Delta_{RIA} \cdot \Delta_{RIA}^i S = 0 \Leftrightarrow \Delta_{RIA}^{i+1} S = 0.$

~~Def.~~ Def: Set  $P_{RIA}^i := (R \otimes_A R) / \Delta_{RIA}^{i+1}$   
 and  $P_{RIA}^i(M) := (R \otimes_A M) / \Delta_{RIA}^{i+1} \cdot (R \otimes_A M).$

these are  $(R \otimes_A R)$ -modules, and we view them as  $R$ -modules by the action on the left copy of  $R \otimes_A R$  or  $R \otimes_A M$ . I.e.,

$$r \cdot (R \otimes_A M + \Delta_{RIA}^{i+1}(R \otimes_A M)) = r \otimes_A M + \Delta_{RIA}^{i+1}(R \otimes_A M).$$

we call  $P_{RIA}^i$  the module of principal parts of order  $i$ .

Thm: There is an isomorphism of  $R \otimes_A R$ -modules.  
 $\text{Hom}_R(P_{RIA}^i(M), N) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{RIA}^i(M, N).$

This iso. is given by the composition  
 $\text{Hom}_R(P_{RIA}^i(M), N) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \text{Hom}_R(R \otimes_A M, N) \xrightarrow{d_M^*} D_{RIA}^i(M, N),$   
 where  $\pi^*$  is the map  $\text{Hom}(\pi, N)$  induced by the quotient  $R \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{\pi} P_{RIA}^i(M)$ , and  $d_M^*$  is the (restriction of the) map  $\mathbb{I}$  that is precomposed by  $d_M$ .

}

14

pf: Consider the SES of  $R \otimes R$ -mods or  $R$ -mods

$$0 \rightarrow \Delta_{R/A}^{i+1}(R \otimes M) \rightarrow R \otimes M \xrightarrow{\pi} P^i(M) \rightarrow 0.$$

By left-exactness of  $\text{Hom}_R(-, N)$ , we have

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P^i(M), N) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \text{Hom}_R(R \otimes M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\Delta_{R/A}^{i+1}(R \otimes M), N)$$

exact, which means  $\pi^*$  is injective, and its image is the set of maps ~~that~~ that are zero on  $\Delta_{R/A}^{i+1}(R \otimes M)$ . Since we view  $\text{Hom}_R(R \otimes M, N)$  as a module via the action on the source,

$$\varphi \text{ is zero on } \Delta_{R/A}^{i+1}(R \otimes M) \iff \Delta_{R/A}^{i+1} \cdot \varphi = 0 \text{ in } \text{Hom}_R(R \otimes M, N).$$

Thus,  $d_{i+1} \circ \pi^*$  induces an isomorphism between  $\text{Hom}_R(P^i(M), N)$

$$\text{and } \text{ann}_{\text{Hom}_R(R \otimes M, N)}(\Delta_{R/A}^{i+1}) = D_{R/A}^i. \quad \square$$