

1

A bit more about differential operators
on the polynomial ring.

K field of char 0

$R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ poly ring

$D_{R/K} = K\langle \bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \rangle \subseteq \text{End}_K(R)$

relations: $\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_j = \bar{x}_j \bar{x}_i \quad i \neq j$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \quad i \neq j$$

$$\bar{x}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \bar{x}_i \quad i \neq j$$

$$\bar{x}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \bar{x}_i - I$$

$$[\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}_j] = 0$$

$$[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}] = 0$$

$$[\bar{x}_i, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}] = 0$$

$$[\bar{x}_i, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}] = -I$$

where $[A, B] = AB - BA$.

Want to use these relations to write any diff'l operator in a standard form / recognize when two operators are same or different.

Lemma: i) $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})^a \bar{x}_i = \bar{x}_i (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})^a + a (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})^{a-1}$

ii) $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})^a \bar{x}_i^b = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(a,b)} k! (a)_k (b)_k \bar{x}_i^{b-k} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})^{a-k}$

pf: i) By induction on a , with $a=1$ already done.

Ind. step: $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})^a \bar{x}_i = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}) (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})^{a-1} \bar{x}_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\bar{x}_i (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})^{a-1} + (a-1) (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})^{a-2})$
 $= (\bar{x}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + 1) (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})^{a-1} + (a-1) (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})^{a-2} \stackrel{IH}{=} \bar{x}_i (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})^a + a (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})^{a-1}$

ii) similar, but messy.

Rather than the precise form of (ii), we will mostly care about this as saying we can switch the order and write as some form "reversed" (note first coeff is 1) plus smaller terms.

Proposition: Any element $s \in D_{R/K}$ can be written

$$\text{as } s = \sum_{d_1, \dots, d_n} \bar{r}_x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{d_1} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^{d_n}$$

for some $\bar{r}_x^i \in R$.

That is, $D_{R/K}$ is generated by $\{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{d_1}, \dots, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^{d_n}\}$ as a left R -module, where $\bar{r} \in R$ is the image of $r \in R$ in $D_{R/K}$.

pf: Using the commutation relations, we can express any element as a sum of products of the form $\left(\frac{\partial^{a_1}}{\partial x_1^{a_1}} \frac{\partial^{a_2}}{\partial x_2^{a_2}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{a_n}}{\partial x_n^{a_n}}\right)$ and similar terms in other indices. Apply lemma to "straighten out"

$\frac{\partial^{b_1}}{\partial x_1^{b_1}} \frac{\partial^{a_2}}{\partial x_2^{a_2}}$ as a sum of products with x 's before $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$.

Inductively, we obtain elements of desired form.

Theorem: The expressions in the previous proposition are unique. That is, $\{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{d_1}, \dots, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^{d_n}\}$ is a free basis for $D_{R/K}$ as a (left) R -module.

pf: We need to show that

$$s = \sum \bar{r}_x \frac{\partial^{d_1}}{\partial x_1^{d_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{d_n}}{\partial x_n^{d_n}} = 0 \text{ implies each } \bar{r}_x \text{ is zero.}$$

Given such a relation, pick a triple β with \bar{r}_β nonzero above, and $\beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_n$ minimal among such triples. Compute $s(x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_n^{\beta_n})$: we know this is 0.

If $d_1 + \cdots + d_n \geq \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_n$, then $\alpha = \beta$ or else $\alpha_i > \beta_i$ for some i . Thus, if $\bar{r}_\alpha \neq 0$ & $\alpha \neq \beta$, we have

$$\left(\sum \bar{r}_x \frac{\partial^{d_1}}{\partial x_1^{d_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{d_n}}{\partial x_n^{d_n}} \right) (x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_n^{\beta_n}) = 0, \text{ so}$$

$$\begin{aligned} s(x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_n^{\beta_n}) &= \sum \bar{r}_x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{d_1} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^{d_n} (x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_n^{\beta_n}) \\ &= \bar{r}_\beta \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\beta_1} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^{\beta_n} (x_1^{\beta_1} \cdots x_n^{\beta_n}) \end{aligned}$$

$= \beta_1! \cdots \beta_n! \bar{r}_\beta$, contradicting a choice of

nonzero \bar{r}_β .

Remark: char $k=0$ was used in an important way here. In char p , have $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})^p = 0$!

3

Order filtration

We define an ascending filtration on $D_{R\Gamma K}$

$$\text{by } D_{R\Gamma K}^i = \bigoplus_{d_i + d_{R\Gamma K} \leq i} R[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}],$$

These are the elements of order at most i .
we observe that this multiplicative

$$D_{R\Gamma K}^i \cdot D_{R\Gamma K}^j \subseteq D_{R\Gamma K}^{i+j} \text{ for each } i, j.$$

It suffices to check for "monomials"

$$(\bar{x}_1^{a_1} \cdots \bar{x}_n^{a_n}) (\bar{x}_1^{b_1} \cdots \bar{x}_n^{b_n})$$

$$b_1 + \cdots + b_n \leq i \quad b_1 + \cdots + b_n \leq i$$

Can write $\frac{\partial^{b_1}}{\partial x_1^{b_1}} \bar{x}_1^{a_1}$ as a sum in standard form
with elements partials to the $\leq b_1$; similarly
for each i .

Go to
A1

Grading on $D_{R\Gamma K}$

An element of $D_{R\Gamma K}$ is homogeneous of
degree d if $S(R_i) \leq R_{i+d}$ for each i .

The ring $D_{R\Gamma K}$ is graded in this way:

any element is uniquely a sum of homogeneous
elements, and products of homog. elements
are homog. of degree equal to the sum of
the degrees. E.g., $\deg(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}) = -1$, $\deg(\bar{x}_2^3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} + \bar{x}_3) = 1$,

A

(A1) Associated graded at order filtration

Given a multiplicative ascending filtration

$$F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq \dots$$

of a ring T , the associated graded ring
of the filtration is

$$\text{gr}_{F_0}(T) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} F_i / F_{i-1} \quad (F_{-1} := 0)$$

as graded abelian groups, with multiplication

$$(f + F_{i-1})(g + F_{j-1}) = (fg + F_{i+j-1}) ;$$

this is well-defined by multiplicative hypothesis.

We compute this for the ring of diff. ops.
on poly ring in char 0, with order filtration.
We have

$$\text{gr}^{\text{ord}}(D_{R/K})_i = \frac{D_{R/K}^i}{D_{R/K}^{i-1}} = \bigoplus_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = i} R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\alpha_1} \dots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^{\alpha_n}$$

or just
check
zero comm.

We observe that

$$\left(R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\alpha_1} \dots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^{\alpha_n} + D_{R/K}^{i-1}\right) \left(S\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\beta_1} \dots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^{\beta_n} + D_{R/K}^{i-2}\right)$$

$$= RS\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\alpha_1+\beta_1} \dots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^{\alpha_n+\beta_n} + D_{R/K}^{i-1} ;$$

Use that $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\alpha_1} x_1^{\alpha_2} = x_1^{\alpha_1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^{\alpha_2} + \text{lower order terms} \dots$ Thus, $\text{gr}^{\text{ord}}(D_{R/K})$ is commutative. ~~especially~~There is a ring homomorphism (k -alg. homom)

$$k[x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2] \rightarrow \text{gr}^{\text{ord}}(D_{R/K})$$

$$x_i \mapsto \bar{x}_i$$

$$y_i \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + D_{R/K}^i,$$

A

and using the R -module structure above,
 this is an isomorphism. In this way, we can
 think of $D(R)$ as close to a polynomial ring
 in $2n$ variables.

(4.2) Properties of the bracket

For α, β, γ homomorphisms of modules or " \mathbb{F} ",
 the following hold whenever defined:

i) $[\alpha, \beta + \gamma] = [\alpha, \beta] + [\alpha, \gamma]$

and $[\alpha + \beta, \gamma] = [\alpha, \gamma] + [\beta, \gamma]$

ii) $[\bar{\alpha}\alpha, \beta] = [\alpha, \bar{\alpha}\beta] = \bar{\alpha}[\alpha, \beta]$ if α & β A -linear

iii) $[\alpha, \beta] = -[\beta, \alpha]$

iv) $[\alpha\beta, \gamma] = \alpha[\beta, \gamma] + [\alpha, \gamma]\beta$

and $[\alpha, \beta\gamma] = [\alpha, \beta]\gamma + \beta[\alpha, \gamma]$

v) $[[\alpha, \beta], \gamma] + [[\beta, \gamma], \alpha] + [[\gamma, \alpha], \beta] = 0.$

prf: i) $[\alpha, \beta + \gamma] = \alpha(\beta + \gamma) - (\beta + \gamma)\alpha = \alpha\beta + \alpha\gamma - \beta\alpha - \gamma\alpha$
 $= \alpha\beta - \beta\alpha + \alpha\gamma - \gamma\alpha = [\alpha, \beta] + [\alpha, \gamma]$ & similarly.

etc.