DEFINITION: Let R be a ring and M a R-module.

- (1) M is **simple** if it is nonzero and M has no nontrivial proper submodules.
- (2) A composition series for M of length n is a chain of submodules

$$M = M_n \supseteq M_{n-1} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq M_1 \supseteq M_0 = 0$$

with  $M_i/M_{i-1}$  simple for all i = 1, ..., n. The

(3) *M* has **finite length** if it admits a composition series. The **length** of *M*, denoted  $\ell_R(M)$  is the minimal length *n* of a composition series for *M*.

JORDAN-HÖLDER THEOREM: Let R be a ring, and M a module of finite length. Let  $N \subseteq M$  be a submodule.

- (1) Any descending chain of submodules of M can be refined<sup>1</sup> to a composition series for M.
- (2) Every composition series for M has the same length.
- (3) If  $N \subseteq M$  is any submodule, then
  - (a) N and M/N have finite length, and  $\ell_R(N), \ell_R(M/N) \leq \ell_R(M)$ ,
  - (b)  $\ell_R(N), \ell_R(M/N) < \ell_R(M)$  unless M = N or N = 0 respectively, and
  - (c)  $\ell_R(N) + \ell_R(M/N) = \ell_R(M)$ .

COROLLARY: If M has finite length, then M is Noetherian and any descending chain of submodules of M stabilizes.

LEMMA: Let R be a ring. A module M is simple if and only if  $M \cong R/\mathfrak{m}$  for some maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

**PROPOSITION:** Let R be a Noetherian ring, and M be a module. The following are equivalent:

- (1) M has finite length,
- (2) M is finitely generated and  $\operatorname{Supp}_R(M) \subseteq \operatorname{Max}(R)$ ,
- (3) M is finitely generated and  $Ass_R(M) \subseteq Max(R)$ .

(1) Working with length: Let  $R = \mathbb{R}[X, Y]$ .

- (a) Compute a composition series and find the *R*-module length of  $M = R/(X^2 + 1, Y)$ .
- (b) Compute a composition series and find the *R*-module length of  $M = R/(X^2 + X, Y)$ .
- (c) Compute a composition series and find the *R*-module length of  $M = (X, Y)/(X^2, Y^2)$ .
- (2) Use the Jordan-Hölder Theorem to prove the Corollary.
- (3) Proof of Proposition: Let R be a Noetherian ring.
  - (a) How do the concepts of "composition series" and "prime filtration" compare?
  - (b) Why does having finite length imply that M is finitely generated<sup>2</sup>? What can one deduce about the associated primes of M? Deduce  $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$ .
  - (c) Use the definition of support to explain why, if  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is a factor in a prime filtration for M, then  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}_{R}(M)$ . Deduce (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1).
  - (d) Show  $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$  to complete the proof.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>That is, terms can be inserted in between others in the chain to get a composition series.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The Corollary is fair game.

- (4) Show that if R is a finitely generated algebra of an algebraically closed field K, then the length of an R-module M is equal to the dimension of M as a K-vector space.
- (5) Proof of Jordan-Hölder: We will show (3a), (3b) directly, then deduce (1), (2), and (3c).
  - (a) Let's start with deducing the other parts from (3a) and (3b). Show that (3a)+(3b)⇒(1) by inducing on length.
  - (b) Show that  $(3a) \Rightarrow (2)$  by induction on length: given another composition series

$$M = N_m \supsetneq N_{m-1} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq N_1 \supsetneq N_0 = 0,$$

consider the case  $N_{m-1} = M_{n-1}$ , and in the other case, consider  $K = N_{m-1} \cap M_{n-1}$ .

- (c) Show that  $(1)+(2) \Rightarrow (3c)$ .
- (d) Now we start on (3a) and (3b). Use the Second Isomorphism Theorem to show that

$$\frac{M_i \cap N}{M_{i-1} \cap N} \cong \frac{M_i \cap N + M_{i-1}}{M_{i-1}}.$$

- (e) Show that N has a composition series of length at most n.
- (f) Show that if the composition series you just found for N has length n, then N = M, so if  $N \subsetneq M$ , then  $\ell_R(N) < \ell_R(M)$ .
- (g) Use the Second Isomorphism Theorem to show that

$$\frac{(M_i+N)/N}{(M_{i-1}+N)/N} \cong \frac{M_i}{M_i \cap (M_{i-1} \cap N)}$$

- (h) Show that M/N has a composition series of length at most n.
- (i) Show that if the composition series you just found for M/N has length n, then N = 0, so if  $N \neq 0$ , then  $\ell_R(M/N) < \ell_R(M)$ . Deduce (3a) and (3b) to finish the proof.