

§7.30: COHEN-SEIDENBERG THEOREMS: APPLICATIONS

**LYING OVER:** Let  $R \subseteq S$  be an integral inclusion. Then the induced map  $\text{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  is surjective. That is, for any prime  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ , there is a prime  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$  such that  $\mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$ ; i.e., a prime *lying over*  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

**INCOMPARABILITY:** Let  $R \rightarrow S$  be integral (but not necessarily injective). Then for any  $\mathfrak{q}_1, \mathfrak{q}_2 \in \text{Spec}(S)$  such<sup>1</sup> that  $\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap R = \mathfrak{q}_2 \cap R$ , we have  $\mathfrak{q}_1 \not\subseteq \mathfrak{q}_2$ . That is, any two primes lying over the same prime are *incomparable*.

**GOING UP:** Let  $R \rightarrow S$  be integral (but not necessarily injective). Then for any  $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}$  in  $\text{Spec}(R)$  and  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$  such that  $\mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$ , there is some  $\mathfrak{Q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$  such that  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{Q}$  and  $\mathfrak{Q} \cap R = \mathfrak{P}$ .

**GOING DOWN:** Let  $R \subseteq S$  be an integral inclusion of domains, and assume that  $R$  is normal. Then for any  $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}$  in  $\text{Spec}(R)$  and  $\mathfrak{Q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$  such that  $\mathfrak{Q} \cap R = \mathfrak{P}$ , there is some  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$  such that  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{Q}$  and  $\mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$ .

---

**COROLLARY:** Let  $R \rightarrow S$  be integral.

- (1) If  $S$  is Noetherian, then for any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ , the set of primes in  $S$  that contract to  $\mathfrak{p}$  is finite.
- (2) If  $R \subseteq S$  is an inclusion, and  $S$  is Noetherian, then for any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ , the set of primes in  $S$  that contract to  $\mathfrak{p}$  is nonempty and finite.
- (3) For any  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$ , we have  $\text{height}(\mathfrak{q}) \leq \text{height}(\mathfrak{q} \cap R)$ .
- (4)  $\dim(S) \leq \dim(R)$ .
- (5) If  $R \subseteq S$  is an inclusion, then  $\dim(R) = \dim(S)$ .
- (6) If  $R \subseteq S$  is an inclusion,  $R$  is a normal domain, and  $S$  is a domain, then for any  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$ , we have  $\text{height}(\mathfrak{q}) = \text{height}(\mathfrak{q} \cap R)$ .

**(1) Hypotheses of Lying Over and Incomparability:**

- (a)** Consider the inclusion map  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ . Show that the conclusion of Lying Over fails. Which hypotheses are true?
- (b)** Consider the quotient map  $\mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]/(X) \cong \mathbb{C}$ . Show that the conclusion of Lying Over fails. Which hypotheses are true?
- (c)** Consider the inclusion map  $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X]$ . Show that the conclusion of Incomparability fails. Which hypotheses are true?
- (d)** Consider the inclusion map  $R := \mathbb{C}[X^2] \subseteq S := \mathbb{C}[X]$ . Describe all of the primes  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  that contract to  $\mathfrak{p} := (X^2 - 1)R$ . Verify the conclusions on Incomparability and Lying Over for  $\mathfrak{p}$  and the  $\mathfrak{q}_i$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Reminder: by abuse of notation, even when  $\phi : R \rightarrow S$  is not injective, we write  $\mathfrak{q} \cap R$  for  $\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}) \subseteq R$ .

**(2)** Proof of Corollary using the theorems: Let  $R \rightarrow S$  be integral.

**(a)** Use one of the Theorems above to show that for any chain of primes

$$\mathfrak{q}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_n = \mathfrak{q} \quad \text{in } \text{Spec}(S)$$

the containments

$$(\mathfrak{q}_0 \cap R) \subseteq (\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap R) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (\mathfrak{q}_n \cap R) = (\mathfrak{q} \cap R) \quad \text{in } \text{Spec}(R)$$

are proper. Explain why this implies Part (3).

**(b)** Deduce part (4) from part (3).

**(c)** Let  $R \subseteq S$  be an inclusion, and take a chain of primes

$$\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n \quad \text{in } \text{Spec}(R).$$

Use Lying Over and Going up to find a chain of primes

$$\mathfrak{q}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_n \quad \text{in } \text{Spec}(S)$$

such that  $\mathfrak{q}_i \cap R = \mathfrak{p}_i$  for all  $i$ . Deduce part (5).

**(d)** Prove part (6).

**(e)** Let  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(S)$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . Show that if  $\mathfrak{q} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $\mathfrak{q} \supseteq \mathfrak{p}S$ , and if  $\mathfrak{q}_0$  is some prime of  $S$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}S \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ , then  $\mathfrak{q}_0 \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$  also.

**(f)** Show that every prime that contracts to  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a minimal prime of  $\mathfrak{p}S$ , and deduce parts (1) and (2).

**(3)** Hypotheses of Going Down:

(a) Consider the inclusion map  $\mathbb{C}[X] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X, Y]/(XY, Y^2 - Y)$ . Show that<sup>2</sup> the conclusion of Going Down fails. Which hypotheses are true?

(b) Consider the inclusion map  $\mathbb{C}[X(1 - X), X^2(1 - X), Y, XY] \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X, Y]$ . Show that<sup>3</sup> the conclusion of Going Down fails. Which hypotheses are true?

<sup>2</sup>Consider  $(1 - y)$ ,  $(X)$ , and  $(0)$ .

<sup>3</sup>Consider  $(1 - X, Y)$ ,  $(X(1 - X), X^2(1 - X), Y, XY)$ , and  $(1 - X, Y) \cap R$ .