

§5.22: LOCALIZATION OF MODULES

**DEFINITION:** Let  $R$  be a ring,  $M$  an  $R$ -module, and  $W$  a multiplicatively closed subset. The **localization**  $W^{-1}M$  is the  $W^{-1}R$ -module<sup>1</sup> with

- elements equivalence classes of  $(m, w) \in M \times W$ , with the class of  $(m, w)$  denoted as  $\frac{m}{w}$ .
- with equivalence relation  $\frac{m}{u} = \frac{n}{v}$  if there is some  $w \in W$  such that  $w(vm - un) = 0$ ,
- addition given by  $\frac{m}{u} + \frac{n}{v} = \frac{vm + un}{uv}$ , and
- action given by  $\frac{r}{u} \frac{m}{v} = \frac{rm}{uv}$ .

If  $\alpha : M \rightarrow N$  is a homomorphism of  $R$ -modules, then the  $W^{-1}R$ -module homomorphism  $W^{-1}\alpha : W^{-1}M \rightarrow W^{-1}N$  is defined by  $W^{-1}\alpha(\frac{m}{w}) = \frac{\alpha(m)}{w}$ .

**DEFINITION:** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  a module.

- If  $f \in R$ , then  $M_f := \{1, f, f^2, \dots\}^{-1}M$ .
- If  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$  is a prime ideal then  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} := (R \setminus \mathfrak{p})^{-1}M$ .

**PROPOSITION:** Let  $R$  be a ring,  $W$  a multiplicatively closed set, and  $N \subseteq M$  be modules. Then

- $W^{-1}N$  is a submodule of  $W^{-1}M$ , and
- $W^{-1}(M/N) \cong \frac{W^{-1}M}{W^{-1}N}$ .

**COROLLARY:** Let  $R$  be a ring,  $I$  an ideal, and  $W$  a multiplicatively closed subset. Then the map  $R \rightarrow W^{-1}(R/I)$  induces an order preserving bijection

$$\text{Spec}(W^{-1}(R/I)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \mathfrak{p} \supseteq I \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \cap W = \emptyset\}.$$

**(1)** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $W$  be a multiplicatively closed set.

- (a)** What is the natural map from  $M \rightarrow W^{-1}M$ ?
- (b)** If  $S$  is a generating set for  $M$ , explain why  $\frac{S}{1} = \{\frac{s}{1} \mid s \in S\}$  is a generating set for  $W^{-1}M$ .
- (c)** Let  $m \in M$ . Show that  $\frac{m}{u}$  is zero in  $W^{-1}M$  if and only if there is some  $w \in W$  such that  $w m = 0$  in  $M$ .
- (d)** Let  $m_1, \dots, m_t \in M$  be a finite set of elements. Show that  $\frac{m_1}{u_1}, \dots, \frac{m_t}{u_t} \in W^{-1}M$  are all zero if and only if there is some  $w \in W$  that such that  $w m_i = 0$  in  $M$  for all  $i$ .
- (e)** Let  $M$  be a finitely generated module. Show that  $W^{-1}M = 0$  if and only if  $M_w = 0$  for some  $w \in W$ .
- (f)** Let  $m \in M$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal. Show that  $\frac{m}{1} \neq 0$  in  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \text{ann}_R(m)$ .

(a)  $m \mapsto \frac{m}{1}$

(b) We can write  $\frac{m}{w} = \frac{\sum_i r_i m_i}{w} = \sum_i \frac{r_i}{w} \frac{m_i}{1}$ .

(c)  $\frac{m}{u} = \frac{0}{1}$  iff  $\exists w$  such that  $0 = w(1m - 0u) = wm$ .

(d) The ‘‘if’’ is clear; for the only if, we have  $w_1 m_1 = \dots = w_t m_t = 0$  so we can take  $w = w_1 \cdots w_t$ .

<sup>1</sup>If  $0 \in W$ , then  $W^{-1}R = 0$  is not a ring.

- (e) Take a finite generating set for  $M$ . Then  $W^{-1}M = 0$  iff each generator maps to 0 iff there is a  $w$  that kills each  $m_i$  iff the corresponding  $M_w = 0$ .
- (f)  $\frac{m}{1} = 0$  if and only if there is some  $w \notin \mathfrak{p}$  with  $w m = 0$ , which happens if and only if  $\mathfrak{p} \not\subseteq \text{ann}_R(m)$ .

**(2)** Prove the Proposition.

For the first part, we need to show that a nonzero element in  $W^{-1}N$  is nonzero in  $W^{-1}M$ . If  $\frac{n}{u} \neq 0$ , in  $W^{-1}M$  then there is some  $w \in W$  such that  $wn = 0$ , which is the same as the condition to be zero in  $W^{-1}N$ .

For the second part, consider the map from  $W^{-1}M$  to  $W^{-1}(M/N)$  given by  $\frac{m}{u} \mapsto \overline{m}u$ . Clearly,  $W^{-1}N$  is contained in the kernel. An element is in the kernel if and only if there is some  $w \in W$  such that  $w\overline{m} = 0$  in  $M/N$ , which means  $w m \in N$ . Then  $\frac{m}{u} = \frac{wm}{wu} \in W^{-1}N$ .

**(3)** Corollary.

- (a) Rewrite the Corollary in the special case  $W = R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  for some prime  $\mathfrak{p}$ .
- (b) Use the Proposition<sup>2</sup> to justify the Corollary.

- (a) There is a bijection between  $\text{Spec}((R/I)_{\mathfrak{p}})$  and primes of  $R$  containing  $I$  but also contained in  $\mathfrak{p}$ .
- (b) We have  $W^{-1}(R/I) \cong W^{-1}R/W^{-1}I$ . From the Proposition, this is an isomorphism of  $R$ -modules, but it is easy to see that the map is in fact a ring isomorphism. The primes in  $W^{-1}R$  are of the form  $W^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$  for  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$  such that  $\mathfrak{p} \cap W = \emptyset$ . By the lattice isomorphism theorem, the primes in  $W^{-1}R/W^{-1}I$  correspond to primes  $W^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$  that contain  $W^{-1}I$ . But if  $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I$  then  $W^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \supseteq W^{-1}I$ , and if  $W^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \supseteq W^{-1}I$ , then since  $W^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$  (from definition of prime)  $I \subseteq W^{-1}I \cap R \subseteq W^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$ . Thus, there is a bijection between primes containing  $I$  and not intersecting  $W$  with primes of  $W^{-1}(R/I)$ .

(4) Invariance of base: Let  $\phi : R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism, and  $V \subseteq R$  and  $W \subseteq S$  be multiplicatively closed sets such that  $\phi(V) = W$ . Show that for any  $S$ -module  $M$ ,  $V^{-1}M \cong W^{-1}M$ .

(5) I'm already local!

- (a) Suppose that the action of each  $w \in W$  on  $M$  is invertible: for every  $w \in W$  the map  $m \mapsto mw$  is bijective. Show that  $M \cong W^{-1}M$  via the natural map.
- (b) Let  $R$  be a ring,  $\mathfrak{m}$  a maximal ideal (so  $R/\mathfrak{m}$  is a field), and  $M$  a module such that  $\mathfrak{m}M = 0$ . Show that  $M \cong M_{\mathfrak{m}}$  by the natural map.
- (c) More generally, show that<sup>3</sup> if for every  $m \in M$  there is some  $n$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^n m = 0$ , then  $M \cong M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ .

<sup>2</sup>Hint: You may want to show that, for  $W \cap \mathfrak{p} = \emptyset$ ,  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  if and only if  $W^{-1}I \subseteq W^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ . For this, it may help to observe that  $W^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$ . You can also use that the isomorphism from the Proposition is a ring isomorphism when  $R$  is a ring and  $I$  is an ideal.

<sup>3</sup>Hint: Note that  $R/\mathfrak{m}^n$  is local with maximal ideal (the image of)  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

- (a) The map is injective, since  $wm = 0$  implies  $m = 0$ , and surjective since  $\frac{m}{w} = \frac{m'w}{w} = \frac{m'}{1}$  for some  $m'$ .
- (b) Let  $u \in R \setminus \mathfrak{m}$ . Then since  $R/\mathfrak{m}$  is a field, there is some  $v \in R$  such that  $uv \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Then for any  $m \in M$ , we have  $uvm = (1 + a)m = m$  for some  $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ . In particular the action of  $v$  is the inverse of  $u$ .
- (c) Because  $R/\mathfrak{m}^n$  is local with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , every element not in  $\mathfrak{m}$  in this ring is a unit. Thus, given  $u \in R \setminus \mathfrak{m}$ , there is some  $v \in R$  such that  $uv \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^n}$ . This shows that the action of  $u$  on  $M$  is bijective and the first part applies.

(6) Prove the following:

LEMMA: Let  $R$  be a ring,  $W$  a multiplicatively closed set. Let  $M$  be a finitely presented<sup>4</sup>  $R$ -module, and  $N$  an arbitrary  $R$ -module. Then for any homomorphism of  $W^{-1}R$ -modules  $\beta : W^{-1}M \rightarrow W^{-1}N$ , there is some  $w \in W$  and some  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\alpha : M \rightarrow N$  such that  $\beta = \frac{1}{w}W^{-1}\alpha$ .

- (a) Given  $\beta$ , show that there exists some  $u \in W$  such that for every  $m \in M$ ,  $\frac{u}{1}\beta(\frac{m}{1}) \subseteq \frac{N}{1}$ .
- (b) Let  $m_1, \dots, m_a$  be a (finite) set of generators for  $M$ , and  $A = [r_{ij}]$  be a corresponding (finite) matrix of relations. Let  $n_1, \dots, n_a$  be an  $a$ -tuple of elements of  $N$ . Justify: There exists an  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\alpha : M \rightarrow N$  such that  $\alpha(m_i) = n_i$  if and only if  $[n_1, \dots, n_a]A = 0$ .
- (c) Complete the proof.

- (a) Let  $m_1, \dots, m_a$  be a (finite) set of generators for  $M$ . We have  $\beta(\frac{m_i}{1}) = \frac{t_i}{w_i}$  for some  $t_i \in N$  and  $w_i \in W$ . Take  $u = w_1 \cdots w_a$ .
- (b) For  $\alpha$  to be well-defined means that relations map to zero; it suffices to show that any defining relation maps to zero, and the condition above just says this.
- (c) In the notation of the above, let  $\frac{n'_i}{u} = \beta(m_i)$ . Note that

$$[\frac{n'_1}{u}, \dots, \frac{n'_a}{u}]A = [\beta m_1, \dots, \beta m_a]A = \beta([m_1, \dots, m_a]A) = 0 \quad \text{in } W^{-1}N.$$

But this just means that there is some  $v \in W$  such that  $v$  kills each entry of  $[\frac{n'_1}{u}, \dots, \frac{n'_a}{u}]A$ . But then

$$[vn'_1, \dots, vn'_a]A = (uv)[\frac{n'_1}{u}, \dots, \frac{n'_a}{u}]A = 0.$$

This means that the map  $\alpha$  given by  $\alpha(m_i) = vn'_i$  is well defined, and  $\beta = \frac{1}{uv}W^{-1}\alpha$  since it is true for each generator  $m_i$ .

<sup>4</sup>This means that  $M$  admits a finite generating set for which the module of relations is also finitely generated.