

§3.12: GRADED MODULES

DEFINITION: Let R be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring with graded pieces R_i . A **\mathbb{Z} -grading** on an R -module M is

- a decomposition of M as additive groups $M = \bigoplus_{e \in \mathbb{Z}} M_e$
- such that $r \in R_d$ and $m \in M_e$ implies $rm \in M_{d+e}$.

An **\mathbb{Z} -graded module** is a module with a \mathbb{Z} -grading. As with rings, we have the notions of **homogeneous** elements of M , the **degree** of a homogeneous element, **homogeneous decomposition** of an arbitrary element of M . A homomorphism $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ between graded modules is **degree-preserving** if $\phi(M_e) \subseteq N_e$.

GRADED NAK 1: Let R be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring, and R_+ be the ideal generated by the homogeneous elements of positive degree. Let M be a \mathbb{Z} -graded module. Suppose that $M_{\leq 0} = 0$; that is, there is some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $M_t = 0$ for $t \leq n$. Then $M = R_+M$ implies $M = 0$.

GRADED NAK 2: Let R be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring and M be a \mathbb{Z} -graded module with $M_{\leq 0} = 0$. Let N be a graded submodule of M . Then $M = N + R_+M$ if and only if $M = N$.

GRADED NAK 3: Let R be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring and M be a \mathbb{Z} -graded module with $M_{\leq 0} = 0$. Then a set of homogeneous elements $S \subseteq M$ generates M if and only if the image of S in M/R_+M generates M/R_+M as a module over $R_0 \cong R/R_+$.

DEFINITION: Let R be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring with $R_0 = K$ a field. Let M be a \mathbb{Z} -graded module with $M_{\leq 0} = 0$. A set S of homogeneous elements of M is a **minimal generating set** for M if the image of S in M/R_+M is a K -vector space basis.

(1) Warmup with minimal generating sets.

- (a)** Note that the definition of “minimal generating set” does not say that it is a generating set. Use Graded NAK 3 to explain why it is!
- (b)** Let K be a field and $S = K[X, Y]$. Verify that $\{X^2, XY, Y^2\}$ is a minimal generating set of the ideal I it generates in S .
- (c)** Let K be a field. Find a minimal generating set of $S = K[X, Y]$ as a module over the K -subalgebra $R = K[X + Y, XY]$.

- (a)** A basis is a generating set; it is then the (\Leftarrow) of Graded NAK 3.
- (b)** We need to show that the images of X^2, XY, Y^2 form a basis for I/R_+I ; write lowercase for images in this quotient. To see that they span, take $F \in I$, so $F = AX^2 + BXY + CY^2$ for $A, B, C \in R$; then going modulo R_+ we have $f = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$, so x^2, xy, y^2 span the quotient. For linear independence, $ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 = 0$ implies $AX^2 + BXY + CY^2 \in R_+I$, and by comparing degrees, A, B, C have bottom degree one, hence are in R_+ , so $a, b, c = 0$. Alternatively, note that I consists of all polynomials of bottom degree at least two, and R_+I consists of all polynomials of bottom degree at least three. Then the quotient is isomorphic as a vector space to the collection of polynomials of degree two, and X^2, XY, Y^2 is indeed a basis.
- (c)** We compute $S/R_+S = K[X, Y]/(X + Y, XY) \cong K[Y]/(-Y^2) \cong K[Y]/(Y^2)$, so the classes of $1, Y$ generate. Thus $\{1, Y\}$ forms a minimal generating set.

(2) Proofs of graded NAKs:

- (a)** Prove Graded NAK 1.

- (b) Use Graded NAK 1 to prove Graded NAK 2.
- (c) Use Graded NAK 2 to prove Graded NAK 3.

- (a) Suppose that $M \neq 0$. Take a nonzero homogeneous element m of minimal degree d in M , which exists by the hypothesis. Then since $m \in R_+M$, we can write $r = \sum_i r_i m_i$ with $r_i \in R_+$, so the bottom degree of r_i is at least one. Thus, we can take the top degree of m_i to be $< d$. But then each $m_i = 0$, so $m = 0$, a contradiction.
- (b) The (\Leftarrow) direction is clear. For the other, we can apply Graded NAK 1 to M/N since it is graded and its degrees are bounded below. We have $\frac{M}{N} = \frac{N+R_+M}{N} = R_+ \frac{M}{N}$ so $M/N = 0$; i.e., $M = N$.
- (c) Apply Graded NAK 2 to the submodule $N = \sum_{s \in S} Rs$: to do so, we need to note that a submodule generated by homogeneous elements is a graded submodule, which follows along similar lines to the corresponding statement we showed for ideals.

(3) The hypotheses:

- (a) Examine your proofs from the previous problem and verify that one direction (each) of Graded NAK 2 and Graded NAK 3 hold without assuming that R or M is graded.
- (b) Let K be a field and $R = K[X]$ with the standard grading. Let $M = K[X]/(X - 1)$. Analyze the hypotheses and conclusion of Graded NAK 1 for this example.
- (c) Let K be a field and $R = K[X]$ with the standard grading. Let $M = K[X, X^{-1}]$. Analyze the hypotheses and conclusion of Graded NAK 1 for this example.
- (d) Find counterexamples to Graded NAK 3 with M is not graded or not bounded below in degree.

- (a) The (\Leftarrow) direction of Graded NAK 2 and the (\Rightarrow) direction of Graded NAK 3 hold without assuming that R or M is graded.
- (b) M is not a graded module; any element is of the form $\bar{\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in K$; if such an element was homogeneous, then

$$\deg(\bar{\lambda}) = \deg(\overline{X\lambda}) = \deg(X) + \deg(\bar{\lambda}) = 1 + \deg(\bar{\lambda}),$$
 a contradiction. We also have $M = (X)M = R_+M$.
- (c) M is graded, but not bounded below. We also have $M = (X)M = R_+M$.
- (d) For a cheap example, take either of the previous with $S = \emptyset$.

(4) Minimal generating sets: Let R be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring with $R_0 = K$ a field. Let M be a \mathbb{Z} -graded module with $M_{\ll 0} = 0$.

- (a) Explain why every minimal generating set for M has the same cardinality.
- (b) Explain why every homogeneous generating set for M contains a minimal generating set for M . Moreover, explain why any generating set (homogeneous or not) has cardinality at least that of a minimal generating set.
- (c) Explain why “minimal generating set” is equivalent to “homogeneous generating set such that no proper subset generates”.
- (d) Give an example of a finitely generated module N over $K[X, Y]$ and two generating set S_1, S_2 for N such that no proper subset of S_i generates N , but $|S_1| \neq |S_2|$. Compare to the statements above.

- (a) Because all bases of a vector space do.
- (b) If S is a homogeneous generating set for M , then the images span M/R_+M , so the images must contain a basis; the elements of S that map to a basis form a minimal generating set. For a general generating set, its images still contain a basis of M/R_+M .

- (c) This just follows from the fact that a basis of a vector space is the same as a minimal spanning set.
- (d) One could take the two generating sets of the ideal $I = ((X - 1)Y, XY) = (Y)$.

(5) Let R be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring with $R_0 = K$ a field. Suppose that $R_{\text{red}} = R/\sqrt{0}$ is a domain, and that $f \in R$ is a homogeneous nonnilpotent element of positive degree. Show that $R/(f)$ is reduced implies that R is a reduced, and hence a domain.

- (6) Let $r \in \sqrt{0}$ be a homogeneous nilpotent element. Then for some $e \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $r^e = 0 \in (f)$, and since $R/(f)$ is reduced, $r \in (f)$. Thus, we can write $r = fs$ for some homogeneous s . But $r \in \sqrt{0}$, $f \notin \sqrt{0}$, and $\sqrt{0}$ prime implies that $s \in \sqrt{0}$. This implies that $\sqrt{0} = f\sqrt{0} \subseteq R_+\sqrt{0}$, so $\sqrt{0} = 0$; i.e., R is reduced.