EXAMPLE: The following are rings.

- (1) Rings of numbers, like  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + bi \in \mathbb{C} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$
- (2) Given a starting ring A, the polynomial ring in one indeterminate

 $A[X] := \{a_d X^d + \dots + a_1 X + a_0 \mid d \ge 0, a_i \in A\},\$ 

or in a (finite or infinite!<sup>1</sup>) set of indeterminates  $A[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ ,  $A[X_{\lambda} | \lambda \in \Lambda]$ .

(3) Given a starting ring A, the power series ring in one indeterminate

$$A\llbracket X\rrbracket := \left\{ \sum_{i \ge 0} a_i X^i \mid a_i \in A \right\},\$$

or in a set of indeterminates  $A[\![X_1, \ldots, X_n]\!]$ .

- (4) For a set X,  $\operatorname{Fun}(X, \mathbb{R}) := \{ \text{all functions } f : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R} \} \text{ with pointwise } + \text{ and } \times. \}$
- (5)  $\mathcal{C}([0,1]) := \{ \text{continuous functions } f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R} \} \text{ with pointwise} + \text{ and } \times.$
- (6)  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,1]) := \{\text{infinitely differentiable functions } f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R} \}$  with pointwise + and ×.
- ( $\div$ ) Quotient rings: given a starting ring A and an ideal I, R = A/I.
- (×) Product rings: given rings R and S,  $R \times S = \{(r, s) \mid r \in R, s \in S\}$ .

DEFINITION: An element x in a ring R is called a

- unit if x has an inverse  $y \in R$  (i.e., xy = 1).
- zerodivisor if there is some  $y \neq 0$  in R such that xy = 0.
- **nilpotent** if there is some  $e \ge 0$  such that  $x^e = 0$ .
- idempotent if  $x^2 = x$ .

We also use the terms **nonunit**, **nonzerodivisor**, **nonnilpotent**, **nonidempotent** for the negations of the above. We say that a ring is **reduced** if it has no nonzero nilpotents.

(1) Warmup with units, zerodivisors, nilpotents, and idempotents.

- (a) What are the implications between nilpotent, nonunit, and zerodivisor?
- (b) What are the implications between reduced, field, and domain?
- (c) What two elements of a ring are always idempotents? We call an idempotent **nontrivial** to mean that it is neither of these.
- (d) If e is an idempotent, show that e' := 1 e is an idempotent<sup>2</sup> and ee' = 0.

(a) nilpotent  $\Rightarrow$  zerodivisor  $\Rightarrow$  nonunit (b) reduced  $\Leftarrow$  domain  $\Leftarrow$  field (c) 0 and 1 (d)  $e'^2 = (1-e)(1-e) = 1 - 2e + e^2 = 1 - e = e'$  and  $ee' = e(1-e) = e - e^2 = 0$ .

- (2) Elements in polynomial rings: Let  $R = A[X_1, ..., X_n]$  a polynomial ring over a *domain* A.
  - (a) If n = 1, and  $f, g \in R = A[X]$ , briefly explain why the top degree<sup>3</sup> of fg equals the top degree of f plus the top degree of g. What if A is not a domain?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note: Even if the index set is infinite, by definition the elements of  $A[X_{\lambda} | \lambda \in \Lambda]$  are finite sums of monomials (with coefficients in A) that each involve finitely many variables.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>We call e' the **complementary idempotent** to e.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The top degree of  $f = \sum a_i X^i$  is max $\{k \mid a_k \neq 0\}$ ; we say top coefficient for  $a_k$ . We use the term top degree instead of degree for reasons that will come up later.

- **(b)** Again if n = 1, briefly explain why R = A[X] is a domain, and identify all of the units in R.
- (c) Now for general n, show that R is a domain, and identify all of the units in R.
  - (a) If  $f = a_m X_m$  + lower terms and  $g = b_n X_n$  + lower terms, then  $fg = \sum a_m b_n X^{m+n} +$  lower terms. If A is a domain, then  $a_m, b_n \neq 0$  implies  $a_m b_n \neq 0$ , but if A is not a domain, the top degree may drop.
  - (b) By looking at the top degree terms as above, we see that the product of nonzero polynomials is nonzero. The units in R are just the units in A viewed as polynomials with no higher degree terms. Indeed, such elements are definitely units; on the other hand, if fg = 1 in R, then the top degree of f and g are both zero, so f and g are constant, which means f and g are in A, so a unit in R is a unit in A.
  - (c) The claim that R is a domain follows by induction on n, since  $A[X_1, \ldots, X_n] = A[X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}][X_n]$ . The units in R are again the units in A. This also follows by induction on n: a unit in  $A[X_1, \ldots, X_n] = A[X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}][X_n]$  is a unit in  $A[X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}]$ , which by the induction hypothesis is constant.
- (3) Elements in power series rings: Let A be a ring.
  - (a) Explain why the set of formal sums  $\{\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i X_i \mid a_i \in A\}$  with arbitrary positive and negative exponents is *not* clearly a ring in the same way as A[X].
  - (b) Given series  $f, g \in A[X]$ , how much of f, g do you need to know to compute the  $X^3$ -coefficient of f + g? What about the  $X^3$ -coefficient of fg?
  - (c) Find the first three coefficients for the inverse<sup>4</sup> of  $f = 1 + 3X + 7X^2 + \cdots$  in  $\mathbb{R}[X]$ .
  - (d) Does "top degree" make sense in A[X]? What about "bottom degree"?
  - (e) Explain why<sup>5</sup> for a domain A, the power series ring  $A[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$  is also a domain.
  - (f) Show<sup>6</sup> that  $f \in A[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$  is a unit if and only if the constant term of f is a unit.
    - (a) To multiply two such formal sums, you would have to take an infinite sum in A to compute the coefficient of any  $X^i$ .
    - (b) To compute the  $X^3$ -coefficient of f + g, you just need to know the  $X^3$ -coefficients of f and g. To compute the  $X^3$ -coefficient of fg, you need to know the  $1, X, X^2, X^3$  coefficients of f and g.
    - (c)  $g = 1 3X 2X^2 + \cdots$ .
    - **(d)** No; yes.
    - (e) For n = 1, look at the bottom degree terms. The bottom degree term of the product is the product of the bottom degree terms; if A is a domain, this product is nonzero. The statement just follows by induction on n.
    - (f) If f is a unit, then the constant term is a unit, since the constant term of fg is the constant term of f times that of g.

For the other direction, first, take n = 1. Given  $f = \sum_i a_i X^i$ , construct  $g = \sum_i b_i X^i$  by defining  $b_m$  recursively  $b_0 = 1/a_0$  and that the  $X^m$ -coefficient of  $(\sum_{i=0}^m a_i X^i)(\sum_{i=0}^m b_i X_i)$  is 0 for m > 0: we can do this since, given  $b_0, \ldots, b_m$  that work in the *m*th step, in the next step we can the formula for the  $X^{m+1}$  coefficient is  $a_0b_{m+1} + a_1b_m + \cdots + a_{m+1}b_0$ , since  $a_0$  is a unit, we can solve for  $b_{m+1}$  to make this equal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>It doesn't matter what the  $\cdots$  are!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>You might want to start with the case n = 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Hint: For n = 1, given  $f = \sum_{i} a_i X^i$ , construct  $g = \sum_{i} b_i X^i$  by defining  $b_m$  recursively  $b_0 = 1/a_0$  and that the  $X^m$ -coefficient of  $(\sum_{i=0}^m a_i X^i)(\sum_{i=0}^m b_i X_i)$  is 0 for m > 0.

zero without changing the lower coefficients. Continuing this way, take  $g = \sum_i b_i X^i$ . Then for any k, the  $X^k$ -coefficient only depends on the  $a_0, \ldots, a_k$  and  $b_0, \ldots, b_k$  coefficients, and by construction, this coefficient is zero for  $k \ge 1$ . Thus, any such f has an inverse.

The general claim follows by induction on n: if  $f \in A[\![X_1, \ldots, X_n]\!]$  has a unit constant term considered as a power series in  $A[\![X_1, \ldots, X_n]\!]$ , then its constant term in  $(A[\![X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}]\!])[\![X_n]\!]$  has a unit constant term, hence is a unit in  $A[\![X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}]\!]$ , so f is a unit in  $(A[\![X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}]\!])[\![X_n]\!] = A[\![X_1, \ldots, X_n]\!]$ .

- (4) Elements in function rings.
  - (a) For  $R = Fun([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ ,
    - (i) What are the nilpotents in R?
- (iii) What are the idempotents in R?
- (ii) What are the units in R?
- (iv) What are the zerodivisors in R?
- (b) For  $R = \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}), R = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  same questions as above. When are there any/none?
  - (a) For  $R = Fun([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ ,
    - (i) There are no nilpotents, since for any  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ ,  $f(\alpha)^n = 0$  means that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ .
    - (ii) The units are the functions that are never zero, since the function g(x) = 1/f(x) is then defined (and conversely).
    - (iii) f(x) is idempotent if  $f(\alpha) \in \{0, 1\}$  for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .
    - (iv) Any function that is zero at some point is a zerodivisor: if  $S = \{\alpha \in [0,1] \mid f(\alpha) = 0\}$  is nonempty, then let g be a nonzero function that vanishes on  $[0,1] \setminus S$ , then fg = 0.
  - (b) For R = C([0, 1]) or  $R = C^{\infty}([0, 1])$ ,
    - (i) Same
    - (ii) Same
    - (iii) There are no nontrivial idempotents: the same condition as above applies, but by continuity, f must either be identically 0 or identically 1.
    - (iv) The difference is that now there may not be a nonzero function that vanishes on  $[0,1] \smallsetminus S$ , e.g., if f vanishes at a single point. To be a zerodivisor, the set  $[0,1] \smallsetminus S$  as above must be not be dense.
- (5) Product rings and idempotents.
  - (a) Let R and S be rings, and  $T = R \times S$ . Show that (1,0) and (0,1) are nontrivial complementary idempotents in T.
  - (b) Let T be a ring, and  $e \in T$  a nontrivial idempotent, with e' = 1 e. Explain why  $Te = \{te \mid t \in T\}$  and Te' are rings with the same addition and multiplication as T. Why didn't I say "subring"?
  - (c) Let T be a ring, and  $e \in T$  a nontrivial idempotent, with e' = 1 e. Show that  $T \cong Te \times Te'$ . Conclude that R has nontrivial idempotents if and only if R decomposes as a product.
    - (a)  $(1,0)^2 = (1,0), (0,1)^2 = (0,1), \text{ and } (1,0) + (0,1) = (1,1) \text{ is the "1" of } R \times S.$
    - (b) re + se = (r + s)e and  $(re)(se) = rse^2 = rse$ . Same with e'.
    - (c) Define  $\phi : T \to Te \times Te'$  by  $\phi(t) = (te, te')$ . The verification that this is a ring homomorphism essentially the content of (b). If  $\phi(t) = (0,0)$ , then te = 0 and 0 = te' = t(1-e) = t - te, so t = 0, hence  $\phi$  is injective. Given  $(re, se') \in Te \times Te'$ , we have  $\phi(re + se') = ((re + se')e, (re + se')e') = (re, se')$ , hence  $\phi$  is surjective, as well.

- (6) Elements in quotient rings:
  - (a) Let K be a field, and  $R = K[X, Y]/(X^2, XY)$ . Find
    - a nonzero nilpotent in R
    - a zerodivisor in R that is not a nilpotent
    - a unit in R that is not equivalent to a constant polynomial
  - (b) Find  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that
    - $[4] \in \mathbb{Z}/(n)$  is a unit
    - $[4] \in \mathbb{Z}/(n)$  is a nonzero nilpotent
- $[4] \in \mathbb{Z}/(n)$  is a nonnilp. zerodivisor
- $[4] \in \mathbb{Z}/(n)$  is a nontrivial idempotent

This solution is embargoed.

- (7) More about elements.
  - (a) Prove that a nilpotent plus a unit is always a unit.
  - (b) Let A be an arbitrary ring, and R = A[X]. Characterize, in terms of their coefficients, which elements of R are units, and which elements are nilpotents.
  - (c) Let A be an arbitrary ring, and R = A[X]. Characterize, in terms of their coefficients, which elements of R are nilpotents.