

PRIMES IN ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS

THEOREM (EUCLID): There are infinitely many primes.

(1) Prove Euclid's Theorem as follows:

By way of contradiction, suppose that there are only finitely many primes p_1, \dots, p_k . Consider the number $N = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k + 1$ and derive a contradiction. (Warning: the contradiction is *not* that N must be prime!)

By way of contradiction, suppose that there are only finitely many primes p_1, \dots, p_k . Consider the number $N = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k + 1$. This number N is multiple of some prime p . By hypothesis, $p = p_i$ for some i . But $N \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$ for each i , so N is not a multiple of p_i , which is a contradiction. We conclude that there must be infinitely many primes.

(2) Modify¹ Euclid's argument to show that there are infinitely many primes p such that $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

By way of contradiction, suppose that there are only finitely many primes p_1, \dots, p_k that are congruent to 3 (mod 4). Consider the number $N = 4p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k - 1$.

We claim that N is divisible by some prime that is congruent to 3 modulo 4. Since N is odd, it is a product of odd primes; in particular, each prime factor is congruent to 1 or 3 modulo 4. If each factor is congruent to 1, then their product is congruent to 1, but $N \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Thus, N is divisible by some prime that is congruent to 3 modulo 4.

Thus, N is divisible by p_i for some i . But $N \equiv -1 \pmod{p_i}$, so N is not a multiple of p_i . This is a contradiction. We conclude that there must be infinitely many primes that are congruent to 3 modulo 4.

Alternatively, by way of contradiction, suppose that there are only finitely many primes p_1, \dots, p_k that are congruent to 3 (mod 4). Say that we ordered them so that $p_1 = 3$. Consider the number $N = 4p_2 p_3 \cdots p_k + 3$.

We claim that N is divisible by some prime that is congruent to 3 modulo 4. Since N is odd, it is a product of odd primes; in particular, each prime factor is congruent to 1 or 3 modulo 4. If each factor is congruent to 1, then their product is congruent to 1, but $N \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Thus, N is divisible by some prime that is congruent to 3 modulo 4.

Thus, N is divisible by p_i for some i . Note that $3 \nmid N$, since $3 \mid 3$ but $3 \nmid (4p_2 p_3 \cdots p_k)$. But for $i > 1$, $N \equiv -1 \pmod{p_i}$, so N is not a multiple of p_i either. This is a contradiction. We conclude that there must be infinitely many primes that are congruent to 3 modulo 4.

(3) Extending your argument from (2):

- (a) Explain why your method from (2) cannot be used in the same way to show that there are infinitely many primes p such that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.
- (b) For which classes $[a] \in \mathbb{Z}_3^\times$ can your argument from (2) be modified to show that there are infinitely many primes congruent to a modulo 3? Complete these cases.

¹Hint: Use a different formula for N that returns a number congruent to 3 modulo 4.

(c) For which classes $[a] \in \mathbb{Z}_5^\times$ can your argument from (2) be used in the same way to show that there are infinitely many primes congruent to a modulo 5?

- (a) If we argue as in (2) and create some N that is equivalent to 1 modulo 4, it could be a product of primes that are congruent to 3 modulo 4, as long as the total multiplicity of 3 mod 4 factors is even.
- (b) This works for 2 modulo 3. Proceed as in (2) and take $N = 3p_1 \cdots p_k - 1$. The argument works because if a product is 2 (mod 3), then one of the factors has to be 2 (mod 3). This can't work for 1 modulo 3 since a product of things that all aren't 1 (mod 3) can be 1 (mod 3).
- (c) This can't work for any residue class modulo 5, because no matter what nonzero $[a]$ we take, we can write $[a] = [b_1] \cdots [b_k]$ where all $[b_i] \neq [a]$. For example,
 $[1] = [4][4]$, $[2] = [3][4]$, $[3] = [2][2][2]$, $[4] = [3][3]$.

(4) In this problem we will show that there are infinitely many primes congruent to 1 modulo 4: If there are only finitely many p_1, \dots, p_k , consider $N = 4(p_1 \cdots p_k)^2 + 1$. Show that if q is a prime factor of N then -1 is a quadratic residue modulo N , and conclude the proof.

By way of contradiction, suppose that there are only finitely many primes p_1, \dots, p_k that are congruent to 1 (mod 4). Consider the number $N = 4(p_1 \cdots p_k)^2 + 1$.

The number N has some prime factor p . Observe that $-1 = 4(p_1 \cdots p_k)^2 - N$, so

$$-1 \equiv (2p_1 \cdots p_k)^2 \pmod{p}.$$

Thus $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$, which implies that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ by quadratic reciprocity part -1. But then $p = p_i$ for some i , and $N \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$, which yields a contradiction. We conclude that there must be infinitely many primes that are congruent to 1 modulo 4.

(5) Show that there are infinitely many primes congruent to 1 modulo 3.

Hint: Consider $N = 3(p_1 \cdots p_k)^2 + 1$, and note that $[a]^{-1}$ is a square if and only if $[a]$ is a square.

By way of contradiction, suppose that there are only finitely many primes p_1, \dots, p_k that are congruent to 1 (mod 3). Consider the number $N = 3(p_1 \cdots p_k)^2 + 1$.

The number N has some prime factor p . Observe that $-1 = 3(p_1 \cdots p_k)^2 - N$, so

$$-1 \equiv 3(p_1 \cdots p_k)^2 \pmod{p}.$$

$$1/(-3) \equiv (p_1 \cdots p_k)^2 \pmod{p}.$$

Thus $\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right) = 1$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{-3}{p}\right) &= \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \left(\frac{3}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 \cdot \left(\frac{p}{3}\right) & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ -1 \cdot -\left(\frac{p}{3}\right) & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases} \\ &= \left(\frac{p}{3}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. But then $p = p_i$ for some i , and $N \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$, which yields a contradiction. We conclude that there must be infinitely many primes that are congruent to 1 modulo 3.

(6) Show that there are infinitely many primes congruent to 4 modulo 5.

Proceeding as above, if not, take $N = 5(p_1 \cdots p_k)^2 - 1$. Note that $5 \nmid N$. Then for a prime p dividing N , we have that $5(p_1 \cdots p_k)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ so

$$1 = \left(\frac{5}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{p}{5}\right),$$

and hence $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$. But if every prime factor of N is congruent to 1, then $N \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ whereas $N \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$. Thus N has a prime factor congruent to 4 mod 5, but this is some p_i leading to a contradiction.

(7) Show that there are infinitely many primes congruent modulo 8 to 7, to 5, and to 3.

Let's start with $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, proceed as above and take $N = (4p_1 \cdots p_k)^2 - 2$. Note that N is not a multiple of 4, and must then have an odd prime factor. For $p|N$ odd, we have $2 \equiv (4p_1 \cdots p_k)^2 \pmod{p}$, so $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = 1$, and hence $p \equiv 1, 7 \pmod{8}$. But not every prime factor of N is congruent to 1 modulo 8, since this would imply $N \equiv 1, 2, 4 \pmod{8}$, but $N \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$. So some factor is congruent to 3 modulo 8, hence is some p_i , leading to a contradiction.

Now $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$. Proceed as above and take $N = (p_1 \cdots p_k)^2 + 2$. Note that each p_i is odd, and $N \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$. For $p|N$, we have $-2 \equiv (p_1 \cdots p_k)^2 \pmod{p}$. We compute

$$\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 \cdot 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ -1 \cdot -1 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \\ 1 \cdot -1 & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{8} \\ -1 \cdot 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8} \end{cases},$$

so $p \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}$. But not every prime factor of N is congruent to 1 modulo 8, so some factor is congruent to 3 modulo 8, hence is some p_i , leading to a contradiction.

For $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, try your luck with $N = (p_1 \cdots p_k)^2 + 4$.

THEOREM* (DIRICHLET): If a and n are coprime integers, with $n > 0$, then there are infinitely many primes p such that $p \equiv a \pmod{n}$.