

## CONTINUED FRACTIONS

**DEFINITION:** A **finite continued fraction** is an expression of the form

$$a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{\ddots + \frac{1}{a_n}}}}$$

for some integers  $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .  
We write  $[a_0; a_1, \dots, a_n]$  as shorthand for this.

By a **continued fraction** we mean either an infinite or finite continued fraction. We call the numbers  $a_i$  the **partial quotients** in the continued fraction.

An **infinite continued fraction** is an expression of the form

$$a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \frac{1}{\ddots}}}}$$

for some integers  $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .  
We write  $[a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$  as shorthand for this.

(1) Evaluating finite continued fractions:

- (a) Evaluate  $2 + \frac{1}{13 + \frac{1}{2}}$ .
- (b) Evaluate  $[3; 2, 1, 4]$
- (c) Explain why every finite continued fraction evaluates to a rational number.

- (a)  $\frac{56}{27}$ .
- (b)  $\frac{47}{14}$ .
- (c) A finite continued fraction is made out of integers from addition and division.

(2) Using the Euclidean algorithm to compute finite continued fractions:

- (a) What type of computation is the computation below?

$$250 = 2 \cdot 117 + 16$$

$$117 = 7 \cdot 16 + 5$$

$$16 = 3 \cdot 5 + 1$$

$$5 = 5 \cdot 1$$

- (b) How does one obtain  $\frac{250}{117} = 2 + \frac{1}{\frac{117}{16}}$  from the computation above?
- (c) Repeat (b) to obtain a finite continued fraction expansion for  $\frac{250}{117}$ .
- (d) Use the steps above to obtain a finite continued fraction expansion for  $\frac{7}{5}$ .
- (e) Use the steps above to obtain a finite continued fraction expansion for  $\frac{39}{314}$ .
- (f) What is the general formula for the continued fraction  $[a_0; a_1, \dots, a_n]$  for  $m/n$  in terms of the Euclidean algorithm?

- (a) Euclidean algorithm.
- (b) Divide the first line by 117 and flip the last fraction.
- (c)  $\frac{250}{117} = 2 + \frac{1}{7 + \frac{1}{3 + \frac{1}{5}}}$ .
- (d)  $\frac{7}{5} = 1 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{2}}$ .
- (e)  $\frac{39}{314} = \frac{1}{8 + \frac{1}{19 + \frac{1}{2}}}$ .
- (f) The  $a_i$ 's are just the quotients in the Euclidean algorithm.

(3) Euclidean algorithm and continued fraction algorithm:

(a) In the computation from (2a) above, check that

$$2 = \left\lfloor \frac{250}{117} \right\rfloor \text{ and that } \frac{117}{16} = \left( \frac{250}{117} - \left\lfloor \frac{250}{117} \right\rfloor \right)^{-1}.$$

(b) More generally, in the Euclidean algorithm

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ u_i & = & q_i \cdot v_i & + r_i & (u_{i+1} = v_i) \\ u_{i+1} & = & q_{i+1} \cdot v_{i+1} & + r_{i+1} & (v_{i+1} = r_i) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \end{array}$$

show that

$$q_i = \left\lfloor \frac{u_i}{v_i} \right\rfloor \text{ and } \frac{u_{i+1}}{v_{i+1}} = \left( \frac{u_i}{v_i} - \left\lfloor \frac{u_i}{v_i} \right\rfloor \right)^{-1}.$$

(a) ✓

(b) The formula for  $q_i$  is the general formula in the division algorithm (since  $u_i/v_i - 1 < \lfloor u_i/v_i \rfloor \leq u_i/v_i$  implies  $v_i > u_i - \lfloor u_i/v_i \rfloor v_i \geq 0$ .) We then have

$$\frac{u_{i+1}}{v_{i+1}} = \frac{v_i}{r_i} = \frac{v_i}{u_i - \lfloor \frac{u_i}{v_i} \rfloor v_i} = \frac{1}{\frac{u_i}{v_i} - \lfloor \frac{u_i}{v_i} \rfloor}.$$

**DEFINITION:** Given an infinite continued fraction  $[a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$ , the  $k$ -th **convergent** of the continued fraction is the value  $C_k$  of the finite continued fraction  $[a_0; a_1, \dots, a_k]$ .

**THEOREM (CONVERGENCE OF CONTINUED FRACTIONS):** Every infinite continued fraction converges to a real number; i.e., for any  $[a_0; a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots]$  with  $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , the sequence of convergents  $C_1, C_2, C_3, \dots$  converges. We call this limit the value of the infinite continued fraction.

**CONTINUED FRACTION ALGORITHM:** Given a real number  $r$ ,

(I) Start with  $\beta_0 := r$  and  $n := 0$ .

(II) Set  $a_n := \lfloor \beta_n \rfloor$ .

(III) If  $a_n = \beta_n$ , **STOP**; the continued fraction is  $[a_0; a_1, \dots, a_n]$ .

Else, set  $\beta_{n+1} := (\beta_n - a_n)^{-1}$ , and return to Step (II).

If the algorithm does not terminate, the continued fraction is  $[a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$ .

**THEOREM (CORRECTNESS OF CONTINUED FRACTION ALGORITHM):** For any real number  $r$ , the continued fraction obtained from the Continued Fraction Algorithm with input  $r$  converges to  $r$ .

**PROPOSITION:** Let  $r$  be a real number. The Continued Fraction Algorithm with input  $r$  terminates in finitely many steps if and only if  $r$  is rational.

**DIRICHLET APPROXIMATION THEOREM:** Let  $r = [a_0; a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots]$  be a real number. Then for every convergent  $C_k = \frac{p_k}{q_k}$  (in lowest terms), we have  $\left| r - \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right| < \frac{1}{q_k^2}$ .

In particular, if  $r$  is irrational, there are infinitely many rational numbers  $\frac{p}{q}$  such that  $\left| r - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^2}$ .

- (4) Use the continued fraction algorithm to find the first four ( $n \leq 3$ ) partial quotients and convergents for  $\sqrt{2}$ , and  $\pi$ . Can you find the whole continued fraction for either of these?

$\sqrt{2} = [1; 2, 2, 2, \dots]$  and 2's forever, since  $\beta_i = \sqrt{2} + 1$  for all  $i > 0$ , with  $C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3 = 1, 3/2, 7/5, 12/5$ .  $\pi = [3; 7, 15, 1, \dots]$  and a mysterious pattern, with  $C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3 = 3, 22/7, 333/106, 355/113$ .

- (5) Find<sup>1</sup> the value of the continued fraction  $1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{\ddots}}}$ .

We have  $L = 1 + 1/L$ , so  $L^2 = L + 1$ . This has two roots  $\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$ . Since  $L > 0$ , we must have  $L = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$ , the golden ratio.

- (6) Continued fraction algorithm and rational numbers.  
 (a) Explain why the continued fraction algorithm just creates a continued fraction in the same way the Euclidean algorithm does as we did in problem (2).  
 (b) Explain why the Proposition above is true.

- (a) This was the point of problem (3).  
 (b) If the algorithm terminates, then  $r$  has a finite continued fraction, and hence is rational. Conversely, if  $r$  is rational, the continued fraction algorithm follows the Euclidean algorithm and after finitely many steps returns a finite continued fraction.

- (7) Dirichlet Approximation Theorem.  
 (a) Let  $r$  be any real number. Explain why for any positive integer  $q$ , there is some integer  $p$  such that  $|r - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{1}{q}$ . Conclude that  $|r - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{1}{q}$  is “not very impressive”.  
 (b) For  $r = \sqrt{2}$ , find all rational numbers  $p/q$  with  $|r - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{1}{q^2}$  with  $q \leq 6$  and compare to the list of convergents  $C_0, C_1, C_2$ . What about  $|r - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{1}{2q^2}$ ? Conclude that  $|r - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{1}{q^2}$  is “pretty impressive”.  
 (c) Discuss  $\pi \approx \frac{22}{7}$  in the context of the results above. Give a better approximation.

- (a) Set  $p = \lfloor r/q \rfloor$ .  
 (b) For the first, we just have  $C_0, C_1, C_2$  along with  $\frac{2}{1}$  and  $\frac{4}{3}$ . For the second, just  $C_0, C_1, C_2$ . We are impressed.  
 (c) This is a good approximation in the sense of Dirichlet Approximation Theorem, since it comes from the continued fraction.  $\pi \approx 355/113$  is a very good approximation.

PROPOSITION: Let  $[a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$  be a continued fraction. Set

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &:= a_0, & p_1 &:= a_0 a_1 + 1, & p_k &:= a_k p_{k-1} + p_{k-2} \\ q_0 &:= 1, & q_1 &:= a_1, & q_k &:= a_k q_{k-1} + q_{k-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

- (1)  $C_k = \frac{p_k}{q_k}$  for all  $k \geq 0$ , and  
 (2)  $p_k q_{k-1} - p_{k-1} q_k = (-1)^{k-1}$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .

<sup>1</sup>Hint: This limit has a value  $L$ . Find an equation that  $L$  satisfies by recognizing  $L$  as a smaller piece of this continued fraction.

(8) Proof of convergence Theorem and Dirichlet Approximation Theorem.

- (a) Use the Proposition above to show that  $C_k - C_{k-1} = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{q_k q_{k-1}}$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .
- (b) Use the Proposition above to show that  $C_k - C_{k-2} = \frac{(-1)^k a_k}{q_k q_{k-2}}$  for all  $k \geq 2$ .
- (c) Use (8b) to show that the sequence  $C_0, C_2, C_4, \dots$  is increasing, that the sequence  $C_1, C_3, C_5, \dots$  is decreasing; use (8a) to show that  $C_{2k} < C_{2\ell+1}$  for all  $k, \ell$ . Deduce that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} C_{2k} = \sup\{C_{2k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} C_{2\ell+1} = \inf\{C_{2\ell+1} \mid \ell \in \mathbb{N}\}$  both exist.
- (d) Use (8a) to show that  $\sup\{C_{2k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \inf\{C_{2\ell+1} \mid \ell \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , and hence that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n$  exists and is equal to both of these values. Thus, every continued fraction converges.
- (e) Suppose that  $\beta$  is the value of our continued fraction. Use (8d) to show that  $|\beta - C_n| \leq |C_{n+1} - C_n|$ , and use (8a) to deduce Dirichlet's Approximation.

(a)

$$C_k - C_{k-1} = \frac{p_k}{q_k} - \frac{p_{k-1}}{q_{k-1}} = \frac{p_k q_{k-1} - p_{k-1} q_k}{q_k q_{k-1}} = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{q_k q_{k-1}}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} C_k - C_{k-2} &= C_k - C_{k-1} + C_{k-1} - C_{k-2} = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{q_k q_{k-1}} + \frac{(-1)^{k-2}}{q_{k-1} q_{k-2}} \\ &= (-1)^k \frac{-q_{k-2} + q_k}{q_k q_{k-1} q_{k-2}} = \frac{(-1)^k a_k q_{k-1}}{q_k q_{k-1} q_{k-2}} = \frac{(-1)^k a_k}{q_k q_{k-2}} \end{aligned}$$

(c) From (8b), we have  $C_k - C_{k-2} > 0$  (so  $C_k > C_{k-2}$ ) if  $k$  is even and  $C_k - C_{k-2} < 0$  (so  $C_k < C_{k-2}$ ) if  $k$  is odd. Thus, the sequence  $C_0, C_2, C_4, \dots$  is increasing and the sequence  $C_1, C_3, C_5, \dots$  is decreasing. By (8a),  $C_{2\ell+1} - C_{2\ell} > 0$ , so  $C_{2\ell+1} > C_{2\ell}$ ; if  $\ell \leq k$ , then  $C_{2\ell+1} > C_{2\ell} > C_{2k}$ ; if  $\ell \geq k$ , then  $C_{2\ell+1} > C_{2k+1} > C_{2k}$ . Then the sequence  $(C_{2k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is increasing and bounded above (by, e.g.,  $C_1$ ), and the sequence  $(C_{2\ell+1})_{\ell=1}^{\infty}$  decreasing and bounded below (by, e.g.,  $C_0$ ). By the monotone convergence theorem, these sequences converge to their sup and inf, respectively.

(d) Suppose  $\sup\{C_{2k}\} < \inf\{C_{2\ell+1}\}$ , and let  $\delta = \inf\{C_{2\ell+1}\} - \sup\{C_{2k}\}$ . Let  $2n$  be an even number larger than  $1/\delta$ . Then

$$C_{2n} < \sup\{C_{2k}\} < \inf\{C_{2\ell+1}\} < C_{2n+1}$$

implies  $C_{2n+1} - C_{2n} > 1/(2n)$ , but we also have  $C_{2n+1} - C_{2n} = 1/(q_{2n} q_{2n-1})$ . Since  $q_{2n} > 2n$ , this is a contradiction. It follows that the sequence of convergents converges.

(e) If  $n$  is even, then we have  $C_n < \sup\{C_{2k}\} = \beta = \inf\{C_{2\ell+1}\} < C_{n+1}$ , and if  $n$  is odd, we have  $C_{n+1} < \sup\{C_{2k}\} = \beta = \inf\{C_{2\ell+1}\} < C_n$ . This shows that  $|\beta - C_n| \leq |C_{n+1} - C_n|$ . Then from (8a),  $|C_{n+1} - C_n| = 1/(q_n q_{n+1}) < 1/q_n^2$ .

(9) Prove the Proposition above.

We prove (1) by induction on  $k$ . We need two base cases,  $k = 0$  and  $k = 1$ . For those, we have  $[a_0; ] = a_0/1$ , and  $[a_0; a_1] = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1} = \frac{a_0 a_1 + 1}{a_1}$ . Now for the inductive step, suppose this holds for continued fractions of length at most  $k$ . Then we can write  $C_{k+1} = [a_0; a_1, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}] = [a_0; a_1, \dots, a'_k]$ , where  $a'_k = a_k + 1/a_{k+1}$ . We apply the IH to the latter continued fraction:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{k+1} &= \frac{a'_k p_{k-1} + p_{k-2}}{a'_k q_{k-1} + q_{k-2}} = \frac{(a_k + 1/a_{k+1})p_{k-1} + p_{k-2}}{(a_k + 1/a_{k+1})q_{k-1} + q_{k-2}} \\ &= \frac{a_{k+1}(a_k p_{k-1} + p_{k-2}) + p_{k-1}}{a_{k+1}(a_k q_{k-1} + q_{k-2}) + q_{k-1}} = \frac{a_{k+1} p_k + p_{k-1}}{a_{k+1} q_k + q_{k-1}} = \frac{p_{k+1}}{q_{k+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

completing the induction.

We prove (2) by induction too. For  $k = 1$ , we get

$$p_1q_0 - p_0q_1 = (a_0a_1 + 1) \cdot 1 - a_0a_1 = 1.$$

Assume the formula holds for  $k$ , so

$$p_kq_{k-1} - p_{k-1}q_k = (-1)^{k-1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} p_{k+1}q_k - p_kq_{k+1} &= (a_{k+1}p_k + p_{k-1})q_k - p_k(a_{k+1}q_k + q_{k-1}) \\ &= p_{k-1}q_k - p_kq_{k-1} = -(-1)^{k-1} = (-1)^k, \end{aligned}$$

completing the inductive step.

(10) Proof of Correctness of Continued Fraction Algorithm:

If  $r$  is rational, the algorithm terminates and returns  $r$ , so we can assume that  $r$  is irrational and that the algorithm does not terminate. Given  $r$ , let  $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots$  and  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots$  be the sequences arising from the continued fraction algorithm.

- Explain why  $r = [a_0; a_1, \dots, a_k, \beta_{k+1}]$ . (Note,  $\beta_{k+1}$  is not an integer, but we can plug it into a finite continued fraction anyway.)
- Explain why  $r = \frac{\beta_{k+1}p_k + p_{k-1}}{\beta_{k+1}q_k + q_{k-1}}$  where  $p_k, q_k$ , where  $p_k, q_k$  are the numbers coming from the continued fraction (with an irrational number snuck in)  $[a_0; a_1, \dots, a_k, \beta_{k+1}]$  as in the Proposition above.
- Show that  $|r - C_k| < \frac{1}{q_kq_{k+1}}$  for all  $k \geq 1$  and deduce the result.

(a) We argue by induction on  $k$ . Since  $\beta_0 = r$  and  $[a_0; ]$  means  $a_0$ , the case  $k = 0$  holds. If  $r = [a_0; a_1, \dots, a_k, \beta_{k+1}]$ , then by definition  $\beta_{k+2} = 1/(\beta_{k+1} - a_{k+1})$ , so  $\beta_{k+1} = a_{k+1} + \frac{1}{\beta_{k+2}}$ . Plugging this into the continued fraction setup,  $r = [a_0; a_1, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}, \beta_{k+2}]$ . This completes the induction.

(b) The same proof as the Proposition works.

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} r - C_k &= \frac{\beta_{k+1}p_k + p_{k-1}}{\beta_{k+1}q_k + q_{k-1}} - \frac{p_k}{q_k} \\ &= \frac{\beta_{k+1}p_kq_k + p_{k-1}q_k - p_k\beta_{k+1}q_k - p_kq_{k-1}}{(\beta_{k+1}q_k + q_{k-1})q_k} \\ &= \frac{p_{k-1}q_k - p_kq_{k-1}}{(\beta_{k+1}q_k + q_{k-1})q_k} = \frac{(-1)^k}{(\beta_{k+1}q_k + q_{k-1})q_k} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\beta_{k+1} > a_{k+1}$ , we have

$$\beta_{k+1}q_k + q_{k-1} > a_{k+1}q_k + q_{k-1} = q_{k+1}$$

so

$$|r - C_k| < \frac{1}{q_{k+1}q_k} < \frac{1}{q_k^2}.$$

(11) Prove the following theorem, which basically says that the convergents are the *best* approximations of a rational number.

THEOREM: Let  $r$  be a real number,  $C_k = \frac{p_k}{q_k}$  be the  $k$ -th convergent of  $r$ , and  $\frac{p}{q} \neq r$  be a rational number. If  $q \leq q_k$ , then  $\left| r - \frac{p}{q} \right| \geq \left| r - \frac{p_k}{q_k} \right|$ .