## Math 325. Exam #1

- (1) Definitions/Theorems
  - (a) State the definition for a real number b to be a *lower bound* for a set S of real numbers.

For every  $x \in S$ ,  $b \leq x$ .

(b) State the Completeness Axiom for  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Every nonempty bounded above subset of  $\mathbb R$  has a supremem.

(c) State the definition for a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  to diverge to  $-\infty$ .

For every  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ , there is some  $N \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all natural numbers n > N,  $a_n < m$ .

(2) Short answer.

(a) Write the negation of the following statement in its simplest form: For every  $x \in S$ , there exists a natural number integer j such that x < j + 3.

There exists  $x \in S$  such that for every integer  $j, x \ge j + 3$ .

(b) Write the contrapositive of the following statement in its simplest form: If  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  both diverge, then  $\{a_n+b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  diverges.

If  $\{a_n+b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges, then  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges or  $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges.

- (3) Determine if each of the following statements is TRUE or FALSE, and justify your choice with a short argument or a counterexample.
  - (a) Every convergent sequence is a monotone sequence.

False. For example, take  $\{\frac{(-1)^n}{n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ .

(b) If  $a_n^2 < 4$  and  $a_{n+1} < a_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges.

True. We have  $-2 < a_n < 2$  for all n, so the sequence is bounded. From the hypotheses, it is decreasing, so it converges. (c) For any open interval S = (a, b) with a < b, there is no smallest irrational number in S.

True. Suppose there was an open interval (a, b) with a smallest irrational number z. By Density of Irrationals, there is some irrational number y such that a < y < z. Then y is a smaller irrational number in (a, b), contradicting the existence of z.

(d) There are sequences {a<sub>n</sub>}<sup>∞</sup><sub>n=1</sub> and {b<sub>n</sub>}<sup>∞</sup><sub>n=1</sub> such that
{a<sub>n</sub>}<sup>∞</sup><sub>n=1</sub> converges,
{b<sub>n</sub>}<sup>∞</sup><sub>n=1</sub> diverges, and
{a<sub>n</sub> + b<sub>n</sub>}<sup>∞</sup><sub>n=1</sub> converges.

False. If  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to L and  $\{a_n+b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to M, then by our Theorem on limits and algebra,  $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to M - L.

(4) Proofs.

(a) Use the formal definition (and not any theorems) of a sequence to converge to prove that  $\left\{2 + \frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{n}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to 2.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. Take  $N = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}$ . Observe that  $\varepsilon^2 = \frac{1}{N}$ , so  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ . Let *n* be an arbitrary natural number larger than *N*. Then  $\left|2 + \frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{n}} - 2\right| = \left|\frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{n}}\right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} = \varepsilon$ . This shows that  $\left\{2 + \frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{n}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to 2.

(b) Let S be a nonempty bounded above subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $\ell = \sup(S)$ . Define  $T = \{3s \mid s \in S\}$ . Prove that  $\sup(T) = 3\ell$ .

First, we show that  $3\ell$  is an upper bound for T. Let  $t \in T$ . We can write t = 3s for some  $s \in S$ . Since  $s \leq \ell$ , we have  $t = 3s \leq 3\ell$ , so  $3\ell$  is indeed an upper bound.

Next, we show that if b is any upper bound for T, then  $b \ge \ell$ . Let b be an upper bound for T. This means that  $b \ge t$  for any  $t \in T$ . Note that b/3 is an upper bound for S: if  $s \in S$ , then  $t = 3s \in T$ , so  $3s = t \le b$ , so  $s \le b/3$ . By definition of supremum,  $b/3 \ge \ell$ , but then  $b \ge 3\ell$ , as required.

**Bonus:** Prove or disprove: Every convergent sequence of integers converges to an integer.

Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of integers, and suppose that  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to L. There is a unique integer m such that  $m \leq L < m+1$  (by a Theorem in class, or by common arithmetic knowledge). Suppose that L is not an integer. Then m < L < m+1. Note that there is no integer t such that m < t < m+1. Take  $\varepsilon = \min\{L-m, m+1-L\}$ , which is strictly positive. There exists N such that for all natural numbers n > N we have  $|a_n - L| < \varepsilon$ . For any such n, we have

$$a_n - L < \varepsilon \le m + 1 - L$$
, so  $a_n < m + 1$ 

and

$$a_n - L > -\varepsilon \ge -(L - m), \text{ so } a_n > m.$$

But this contradicts that there is no integer t such that m < t < m + 1! This contradiction shows that L must be an integer.